Of

# WITNESSES

Defense' Witnesses	Page
YAMAMOTO, Chikao (resumed)	27250
Direct by Mr. Roberts (cont'd)	27252
Cross by Captain Robinson	27261
Questions by the President of the Tribunal, Sir William Webb	27262
Cross (cont'd) by Captain Robinson	27262
(Witness excused)	27269
TOMIOKA, Sadatoshi	27282
Direct by Mr. Roberts	27282
MORNING RECESS	27282
Direct by Mr. Roberts (cont'd)	27284
Cross by Captain Robinson	27305
(Witness excused)	27309
NOON RECESS	27309
OHASHI, Tatsuo	27315
Direct by Mr. Roberts	27315
Questions by the President of the Tribunal, Sir William Webb	27322
Redirect by Mr. Roberts	27322
Questions by the President of the Tribunal, Sir William Webb	27322
(Witness excused)	27323

Of

Witnesses

(cont'd)

Defense' Witnesses	Page
OKOCHI, Denshichi	27324
Direct by Mr. Roberts Direct (cont'd) by Mr. S. OKAMOTO	27324 27328
(Witness excused)	27334
ARIMA, Shizuka (Gen)	27335
Direct by Mr. Roberts	27335
(Witness excused)	27348
AFTERNOON RECESS	27348
TAKATA, Toshitane	27354
Direct by Mr. Roberts	27354
Cross by Commander Cole	27370
(Witness excused)	27373
YAMAMOTO, Yoshio (recalled)	27374
Direct by Mr. Roberts	27374
(Witness excused)	27385

Of

# EXHIBITS

Doc. No.	Def. No.	Pros.	Description	For In Ident.Evidence
1897	3052		Affidavit of YAMAMOTO, Chikao	27251
	3053		Book entitled "Collecte Volume of Headquarte Instructions, Vol. I Navy Section"	ers'
1943	3053-A		Excerpt therefrom - Chapter I - The Campaigns Against the US, the British Commonwealth and the Chinese Republic	27269
1943-A	3053-B		Further excerpt - "Air Operations"	27270
	3054		Book entitled "Collecte Volume of Imperial Headquarters Instruc- tions, Vol. I, Nava: Section"	3-
1944	3054-A		Excerpt therefrom - Operational Policy	27274
1941	3054-B		Further excerpt from above Volume	27313
1455	3055		Regulations: The Treats of Prisoners of War (Naval Ministerial Notification No. 33 dated 17 February 19 Amended by Notificat No. 407, 1941)	- 304,
1456	3056		Report on Prisoner of V Administration made GHQ by the Tokyo Cer Liaison Office, date 27 January 1946	to ntral

Of

### EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

Doc. No.	Def. No.	Pros. No.		For dent Ev	In
1945	3057		Affidavit of TOMIOKA, Sadatoshi		27284
	3058		Volume entitled "Collecte Volume of Imperial Headquarters Instruct Navy Section" 27		
1940	3058-A		Excerpt therefrom - Noval General Staff Directi No. 15, dated 30 Nove 1941 from Chief of Na General Staff, Admira NAGANO, Osami to Comm in-Chief of Combined Fleet, Admiral YAMAMO	mber val lander-	27301
2294	3059		Certificate "Instructions Navy Department, Im- perial Headquarters "	•	27303
	3060		Book entitled "Imperial Headquarters Naval Orders, Part III" 27	311	
2208	3060-A		Excerpt therefrom - Directive No. 282 Nav Department - Imperial General Headquarters from NAGANO, Osami, C of the Naval General to KOGA, Commander-in Chief of the Combine Fleet	hief Staff	27311

Of

EXHIBITS

(contid)

	Def. No.	Pros. No.	Description	For In Ident.Evidence
1954	3061		Affidavit of OHASHI,	27315
1607	3062		Affidavit of OKOCHI, Denshichi	27325
1256	3063		Affidavit of ARIMA, Shizuka (Gen)	27335
1896	3064		Reply addressed to Colo Allen from Major-Gen NAKAMURA re the inquancte addressed to the Japanese Government GHQ after the surrenconcerning a Japanese submarine which sunk steamer in the Indian Ocean	nel eral iry e from der
2128	3065		Affidavit of TAKATA, Toshitane	27356
2142	3066		Affidavit of YAMAMOTO, Yoshio	27374

### Tuesday, 2 September 1947

1 2

3

×

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23 24

25

INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan

The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment, at 0930.

### Appearances:

For the Tribunal, all Members sitting, with the exception of: HONORABLE JUSTICE I.M. ZARYANOV, Member from the USSR., not sitting from 0930 to 1600; HONORABLE JUSTICE HENRI BERNARD, Member from the Republic of France and HONORABLE JUSTICE R. B. PAL, Member from India, not sitting from 1330 to 1600.

For the Prosecution Section, same as before. For the Defense Section, same as before.

(English to Japanese and Japanese to English interpretation was made by the Language Section, IMTFE.) Wolf & Berton

3

5

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

CHIKAO YAMAMOTO, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

PR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please, the prosecution has made a check on the three documents that were filed with the Clerk, but it is impossible for us to determine what directives are referred to in this affidavit on pages 9 and 10.

We waive the objection that rule 6-b has not been complied with, but we take the position that pages 9 and 10, other than the last question and answer on page 10, should not be admitted in evidence due to the fact that the directives are not clearly described, and, in any event, it seems to be an effort on the part of the affiant to describe and to some extent construe those directives which, no doubt, will be presented in some form by the defense later on.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roberts.

MR. ROBERTS: The references on pages 9 and 10 are really a summary of the directives which are referred to in the earlier parts of the affidavit.

4 5

THE PRESIDENT: Are you sure they are summaries and not interpretations?

MR. ROBERTS: It is a summary of the documents that I referred to before the adjournment.

THE PRESIDENT: I think you had better rely on the document. No doubt, the prosecution have told us, in all good faith, that they cannot trace these documents, after having sought for them during the week-end.

The objection is sustained and the document admitted to the extent not objected to, that is to say, without pages 9 and 10 except the last question and answer on page 10.

MR. ROBERTS: Yes.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1897 will receive exhibit No. 3052.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3052 and received in evidence.)

MR. ROBERTS: I read exhibit No. 3052:

"Q State your post and rank at the time of demobilization.

"A Rear-Admiral: Commandant of the 11th Air Squadron.

"Q State main posts you occupied since around the outbreak of war till its termination.

"A Chief of First Section, Administrative Division, Department of Naval Aeronautics from September
1941 to December 1942; Chief of First Section, Naval
Department of Imperial Supreme Headquarters from January 1943 to December 1944; and Chief of Staff, 10th
Air Fleet, and Commandant 72nd Air Squadron from
January 1945 to the end of the war.

"Q What sort of a job was assigned to you from January 1943 to December 1944?

"A I was in charge of the affairs concerning overall operational conduct of the Japanese Navy under the control of the Chief of the First Division, Naval Department of the Imperial Supreme Headquarters. To fulfill this duty I was to direct the members of the First Section in drawing up plans as well as in drafting orders and directions for the overall operational conduct of the Navy.

"Q Have you ever taken part in drawing up the

"Results of operations up to that time led us to believe that submarines could be more effectively employs against enemy sea traffic than against enemy naval forces. Increasing activities of enemy aircraft in the Solomon Islands and Rabaul areas, however, made the supply by ordinary surface transports to those outlying bases more and more difficult, a situation which compelled us to employ submarines for the transportation of supplies.

"The method of forwarding supplies by means of submarines was subsequently extended to New Guinea, the Mandated Island and the Aleutian Archipelago areas. This necessitated numerous submarines to be diverted from the field of maritime traffic destruction, leaving in the latter field only a scant force of submarines, a situation which made the prospect of achieving the results at first visualized remote.

"The operational plan for this minor period is what was indicated to the Commander-in-Chief of the Combined Fleet, YAMAMOTO, Isoroku, by the Chief of Naval General Staff NAGANO, Osami, on 25 March 1943 as Naval General Staff Directive No. 209, in the drafting of which I myself took part as the Chief of the Section in charge of the planning.

"The second minor period began in October 1943 with the principle in operation unaltered. But the

17.

advent of German submarines in the Indian Ocean necessitated the drawing of the demarcation between the fields of operations of Japanese and German submarines. This line of demarcation was indicated by the Chief of Naval General Staff NAGANO, Osami, to Commander-in-Chief of the Combined Fleet, Admiral KOGA with Naval General Staff Directive No. 282 dated 4 October 1943.

"The operational agreement concluded with Germany regarding to the field of operations in the Indian Ocean setting 70 degrees longitude as the line of demarcation was indicated to the units concerned by Naval General Staff Directive No. 282 of 4 October 1943 for the first time. Prior to that date nothing was shown to them concerning this matter.

"This was because, I suppose, it had not been deemed necessary to issue such directive until the activities of the German submarines became actually seen in the Indian Ocean, though the agreement with Germany on the matter had been already concluded.

"This Naval General Headquarters Directive was drawn up by myself in the capacity of the Chief of the Competent Section.

"The third minor period started in August 1944.

\*

For this period no alteration was made in the principle of operations in so fer as a directive of the Naval General Staff was concerned. In reality, however, our navy became too tightly occupied with the operations in the Philippine area to divert submarines to continue sea traffic destruction, and the submarines which had so far engaged in the traffic destruction in the Indian Ocean as well as in the Pacific Ocean had been withdrawn.

"Q When was it that the co-operation of the submarines among Japan, Germany and Italy was contemplated in the Japanese Imperial Supreme Headquarters.

"A When on 25 March 1943 Naval Genera Staff Directive No. 209 was about to be delivered for the Third Phase of the War to the Commander-in-Chief of the Combined Fleet YAMAMOTO from the Chief of the Naval General Staff, an item dealing with the cooperation first found its insertion into this directive because it was expected that some of the German and Italian submarines would start operations shortly in the Indian Ocean in accordance with the military agreement among the three powers. It was not until 4 October 1943, however, that the operational units concerned were first instructed concretely about the matter, because of the necessity to do so had not been

felt before.

"Q During your tenure of office as the Chief of the First Section, Naval Department of the Imperial Supreme Headquarters, had any of Japanese Naval Officers residing in Germany or any German official ever notified to Tokyo of the German request or something like that about the co-operations of the Japanese and German submarines?

" No. Nothing of the sort.

"German U-boats successfully pursued the policy of killing all of the persons on board the Allied vessels except only a few of them useful for getting information when they sank those vessels. Do you know the fact that the Germans requested to Japan for the adoption of such policy around 1943?

"A No, I have never heard of any such thing.

"Q Has the principle of the annihilation of the crew memmers of a sunken vessel ever been adopted in the plan of operations drawn up in the Imperial Supreme Headquarters?

"A The Japanese Navy have never adopted such a principle; absolutely, no never. On the contrary, though it is a matter of course, the strict observance of international laws and the high regard to human lives have been held among the traditional spirits

4 5

which have guided the Central Authorities of the Japanese Navy. For instance, in Naval General Staff Directive No. 15 of 30 November 1941 and in Naval General Staff Directive No. 60 of 1 March 1942 it is enuciated as a matter of warning that in carrying out submarine warfare ample time for safe retirement should be given to the crew members and passengers of Allied vessels, unless circumstances might otherwise dictate.

"This humanitarian spirit of the Navy had been maintained unchanged throughout the war.

"Q What fleet was in command of the submarines which operated in the Indian Ocean from around October 1942 to around October 1944?

"A A division of submarines was placed under the command of the South-Western Area Fleet since 10 July 1942, and operated in the Indian Ocean till October 1943. In October 1943 the Eighth Submarine Squadron joined forces with the aforesaid division. Both of these submarine forces were placed under the direct control of the commandant of the Eighth Submarine Squadron, who in turn was under the command of the South-Western Area Fleet.

"Since August 1944 every submarine of the above Squadron diverted to other area from the Indian Ocean.

"Q What fleet was in command of the submarines

that operated in the Pacific Ocean - in the Samoa-Fiji area in particular - from 1942 to around 1944?

"A They were commanded by the Commander-in-Chief of the Sixth Fleet.

"Q By whom was the First Submarine Force commanded as of 20 March 1943?

"A Belonging to the Sixth Fleet, it was commanded by the Commander-in-Chief of the Fleet.

"Q In the relation between the 1st Submarine Squadron and the submarine force operating in the Indian Coean, was the one in command of the other regarding the operational matters?

"A No, they had no direct connection at all between them. Although both the Sixth Fleet and the South-Western Area Fleet were under the command of the Combined Fleet, the submarine forces operating in the Indian Ocean, which belonged to the South-Western Area Fleet, and the First Submarine Farca belonging to the Sixth Fleet had nothing in common between them regarding the matters pertaining to command.

"Q Where were the bases and how extensive were the theaters operations? Tell me the bases and the theaters of operations of the submarine forces belonging to the South-Western Area Fleet and of the submarine forces attached to the Sixth Fleet.

2

4

5

3

6

7

.

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

"A The submarine forces of the South-Western Area Fleet had their base at Penang and the thester of their operations was the Indian Ocean (since October 1943 the theater was limited to the Indian Ocean only east of 70 degrees E longitude); while the submarine forces of the Sixth Fleet had their base at Truk with the Pacific Ocean as the field of action.

"Q When was MITO, Hisashi, transferred to the Navy Ministry from the office of the Commandant of the First Submarine Squadron?

"A It was on 29 March 1943.

"Q Do you know who succeeded him and what sort of orders were given to the successor?

"A He was succeeded by Rear-Admiral KOUDA,
Takeo. The First Submarine Squadron was ordered to
turn to the Northern Pacific and was assigned another
duty in Kiska-Attsu area in the midele of April"

Now to the last question on page 10:

"Q Have you ever witnessed what is called Tosecret First Submarine Squadron Order No. 2 issued by the Commandant of the First Submarine Force on 20 March 1943?

"A No, I have never."

You may cross-examine.

THE DR

THE PRESIDENT: Captain Robinson.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

#### BY CAPTAIN ROBINSON:

Q Mr. Witness, did you know that Japanese submarines in the Indian Ocean followed exactly the same policy as that followed by the Germans in destroying shipwrecked survivors?

A No, I do not.

Q Did you ever hear of the sinking -- change that question -- Is it not a fact that the Jean Nicolett was sunk on July 2, 1944 in the Indian Ocean by a Japanese submarine, and that many other Allied vessels in the Indian Ocean were sunk by Japanese submarine crews who machine gunned and otherwise destroyed the passengers in lifeboats?

A I never heard of any such fact.

Q Did you ever hear of protests to the Japanese Government by the Governments of the United States, of Great Britain, the Netherlands and other countries against such submarine tactics?

A Although it did once come to my ears that such protests had been made, I heard nothing definite on that.

Q Did you know that the Germans supplemented written orders governing submarine operations, such as

2

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

16

17

18

20

21

23

24

25

the German Order of September 3, 1942, by oral instructions for the destruction of shipwrecked survivors?

- A No, I have never heard of any such thing.
- Q You stated a moment ago, you did learn that complaints had been filed at one time. What was done in regard to those complaints?

A In regard to these protests, I believed they were taken up by the competent section of the Navy Ministry, and, as for our part, my subordinates would investigate reports coming in from the various naval units, reporting time, place, etc, where even my vessels had been sunk and see if those reports -- if the time, place and so forth in those reports, coincided with those set forth in the protest.

### BY THE PRESIDENT:

- Q Did your subordinates make any such investigation?
- A Yes. However, I have never had any reports made to me that as a result of those investigations an actual case in which the time and place did coincide occurred.

### BY CAPTAIN ROBINSON (Continued):

Q And yet you state in this affidavit that no such incidents occurred?

1	
	Т
2	
2	1
-	
- 14	-
4	0.0
5	-
-	
6	VI.
7	
*	1
	1
8	
0	
-	
10	П
11	1
**	1
	1
12	
	-
13	
-	1
	1
14	
	1
15	
10	
17	
-	
18	

20

21

22

23

24

25

A I am afraid I cannot understand what you mean by "such incidents." To what are you referring?

Q Incidents upon which such complaints were based by the United States Government, the British Government, the Dutch Government and other governments whose ships have been sunk and crews destroyed by such tectics.

A I have never heard of any such incidents -- any such acts.

Q You were Chief of the First Section of Naval Supreme Headquarters in February and March 1944?

A Yes.

Q And at page 8 you speak of the submarine forces of the Southwestern Area Fleet having their base at Penang.

A Yes, that is so.

Q You knew that 3 or 4 submarines were included with the Cruiser Tone and other ships in the 16th Squadron of the South-Western Area Fleet at that time and place.

A The 16th Squadron never had any submarines under it.

Q During February, 1944, under whom was the Japanese South-Western Area Fleet commanded?

A I cannot recollect the name of the commander.

1	Q Was it not Admiral TAKASU?
2	A I believe it was Admiral TAKASU.
3	Q And who was in command of the Japanese 16th
4	Squadron?
5	A I believe it was Rear-Admiral SAKONJI. However,
6	I have no exact recollection.
7	Q Is it not a fact, that in February, 1944, the
8	South-Western Area Fleet and the 16th Squadron, under
9	the commanders as indicated, held a conference at Penang
10	on 23 February, 1944, at which plans were made for
11	operations against Allied shipping in the Indian Ocean?
12	A The Imperial General Headquarters had no
13	knowledge of such conferences held by commanders in
14	those areas.
15	Q In other words, local commanders could inaugu-
16	rate submarine warfare involving the tactics of destroy-
17	ing surviving crews and passengers without Imperial
18	Headquarters knowing of that fact?
19	THE PRESIDENT: In view of your evidence you
20	don't suggest they didn't know, do you?
21	CAPTAIN ROBINSON: No, your Honor, but I
22	would like to have the witness' statement on that
23	question, if I may.
24	A May I have the question itself repeated, please
25	THE PRESIDENT: Of course, a submarine could

1.7

have done anything it liked without letting Imperial Headquarters know. It is not necessary to put that question and it isn't necessary to answer it. But, the suggestion in the question is what intrigued me in view of your evidence.

BY CAPTAIN ROBINSON (Continued):

Q Is it not possible also that orders to submarine commanders for such tactics might be passed on orally as was done by the German Command?

A Since no reports were submitted by local commanders as to what orders or instructions they gave to their subordinates, based on the orders or instructions coming from the Imperial General Headquarters, I have no way of ascertaining what kind of orders they actually gave. However, although most of the orders given by local commanders were in written form some, I believe, were given orally. Furthermore, in order to explain the orders or instructions given by these local commanders, the Chief of Staff would give oral explanations to go with these orders. However, it was customary in such cases, as these oral explanations of the Chief of Staff would later be distributed to the various commanders in written form.

2

3

4

6

7

8

YAMAMOTO

	0	You	didn	't	know,	ther	, the	at a	conf	erence	was
held	at	Penar	ng on	23	Febr	uary	1944	in t	which	plans	were
laid	do	wn by	the .	Jap	anese	Sout	thwest	t Ar	ea Fle	eet fo	r
acti	vit:	ies in	the	In	dian	Ocean	1?				

I have no knowledge of any such conference.

You didn't know that at such a conference it was suggested that should a ship of the Allies be captured and/or sunk, only prisoners essential for the giving of information were to be brought back and the remainder were to be executed?

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roberts.

MR. ROBERTS: The witness has twice answered he knew of no such conference. This is simply a repetition of the same question. As to what happened there, of course he had no knowledge; he knew nothing of the conference.

THE PRESIDENT: In view of his previous answers, it is futile to put these questions, Captain Robinson.

THE PRESIDENT: There is no need to remind us of your evidence in that matter. We realize you had a duty to put some of these matters to him. But he has so indicated his attitude that it is futile to do any

CAPTAIN ROBINSON: If the Court please --

thing more than you have done.

CAPTAIN ROBINSON: Those questions, if the

12

.13

14

10

11

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

3

6

8

10

11

13

16

14

18 19

17

21

22

20

23

24

25

Court please, were preparatory to this final question, which, I think, will show the purpose of the preceding questions.

THE PRESIDENT: What you have prepared has nothing to do with the matter. The fact is he has answered you in such a way that you cannot hope to get any affirmative reply from him.

CAPTAIN ROBINSON: This is not a matter relating to our evidence, if the Court please. I am simply laying a foundation.

THE PRESIDENT: Laying a foundation for what? Do you want him to confirm your own evidence?

A majority of the Court are against allowing you to further question along this line. The objection is upheld in the interest of time saving as well as any other interests.

#### BY CAPTAIN ROBINSIN:

Mr. Witness, had you heard of the sinking of the British ship BEHAR and the execution of 65 survivors of that sinking?

A I don't know.

O You had not heard of the protest of the British Government at the sinking of the BEHAR and the atrocity execution of its survivors?

No, I have never.

And you don't know, then, that the execution 1 of the plan of the Southwest Area Fleet consisted in the sinking of the BEHAR? 3 THE PRESIDENT: You have complied long ago 4 with all the requirements of fairness to the witness. CAPTAIN ROBINSON: If the Court please, the 6 prosecution would like to refer the Tribunal to the 7 evidence referred to in the questions in exhibit 2104, 8 record page 15,182. That has to do with the BEHAR. THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roberts. 10 CAPTAIN ROBINSON: I cite the case of the 11 NICOLLET --THE PRESIDENT: We want to hear Mr. Roberts. 13 14 MR. ROBERTS: I want to object to any summa-15 tion by the prosecution at this time. I think there 16 is a proper place for it, but this is not the proper 17 place or the proper time. 18 THE PRESIDENT: Objection overruled. 19 CAPTAIN ROBINSON: The NICOLLET citation is exhibit 2087 and 2088, record pages 15,140, 15,145, 21 and the testimony of the survivor McDougall, record 22 page 15,109. 23 THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roberts. MR. ROBERTS: I have no further questions. 25

May the witness be excused on the usual terms?

THE PRESIDENT: He is excused accordingly. (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) MR. ROBERTS: At this time there are certain documents referred to in the affidavit of the last wit-I first want to offer for identification defense document No. 1943, which is a collection of the directives of the Imperial Headquarters. CLERK OF THE COURT: Book entitled "Collected Volume of Headquarters Instructions, Volume II, Naval Section" will receive exhibit No. 3053 for 11 identification only. (Whereupon, the document above referred 13 to was marked defense exhibit 3053 for identifica-14 tion.) 15 MR. ROBERTS: I offer in evidence defense 16 17 document No. 1943, which is an excerpt from exhibit 18 3053 for identification. I tender this document, 19 No. 1943, and at the same time defense document 1943-A. 20 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 21 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1943 will receive exhibit No. 3053-A, and defense document 1943-A will receive exhibit No. 3053-B. (Whereupon, the documents above referred to 1. re marked defense exhibit 3053-A and defense

24

25

exh'bit 3053-B, respectively, and received in evidence.)

MR. ROBERTS: As to exhibit 3053-A, I just want to refer to paragraph 6, subdivision 2 and 3, page 4, which read as follows:

"2. Bases situated at the very head of front lines shall be supplied by means of submarines or the like combatant vessels if occasion demands.

"3. Every possible assistance shall be made in transporting supplies to army units disposed on outflung positions."

THE PRESIDENT: What bearing has that on any possible issue?

MR. ROBERTS: On the question of inadequacy of submarines because of the fact that they had to be used for the transportation of supplies.

THE PRESIDENT: It may be relevant to show that they were actually used in that way, but this authorization is sheer nonsense.

MR. ROBERTS: These directives are merely to corroborate the statements made by the previous witness to that effect.

I offer for identification only defense document No. 2208, which is a collection of orders of the Imperial General Headquarters, Naval Department. THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please, Rule 6(b)1 has not been complied with with regard to this excerpt.

MR. ROBERTS: This book has been deposited, within the rules, more than seven days ago.

MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please, this document was served on the prosecution on the 29th day of August and no notification give us of the filing of it at any place where we could make an investigation of it.

THE PRESIDENT: The rule must be complied with.

MR. ROBERTS: I believe the record will show that I referred to this document when I called the other documents to the attention of the prosecution last week that they had been deposited in the Clerk's office.

MR. TAVENNER: Counsel refers to this document as having been called to our attention at the
close of the session on Friday. If this document is
included in the three volumes that were handed to us,
we will waive the requirement; but if it is in any
different set of document, we would not be willing to
waive it.

MR. ROBERTS: It was among those mentioned.

O

THE PRESIDENT: You can solve that conundrum, not the Court surely. MR. TAVENNER: We ask that it be passed for a few minutes to give us an opportunity to determine the matter. MR. ROBERTS: That will be satisfactory as far as we are concerned. THE PRESIDENT: Then, you will withdraw it for the time being, Mr. Roberts? but he range attend by his countien. 

MR. ROBERTS: Yes, sir.

1

2

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I offer for identification only defense document No. 1944, which is a Collection of Directives from the Imperial General Headquarters, Naval Department.

"Collected Volume of Imperial Headquarters Instructions, Volume I, Naval Section," will receive exhibit No. 3054 for identification only.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3054 for identification.)

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please, there is a language problem involved in the translation of this document. I am advised that it is Staff Directive No. 60 and not No. 50 as stated in his caption.

MR. ROBERTS: Yes. That is corrected in the certificate attached to the document.

THE PRESIDENT: "50" is a typographical error?
MR. ROBERTS: Yes, sir.

I offer in evidence defense document No. 1944, which is an excerpt from exhibit No. 3054 for identification.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1944 will receive exhibit No. 3054-A.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3054-A and received in evidence.)

MR. ROBERTS: I read exhibit No. 3054-A, on page 3, paragraph 2, subdivision (d), (e), and (f), on page 3:

"d. In the operations by surface craft it shall be made a rule as far as possible that such attack be preceded by duly processed visit and search, and every possible endeavor shall be made to rescue human lives if circumstances warrant the sinking of the vessels.

"e. As a rule hostile vessels shall be captured and be brought to a port of Japan proper whenever possible. When such is not feasible captured vessels shall be either made to sail to the nearest friendly port or be destroyed after having taken proper measures for the personnel and cargoes on board.

"f. As a rule persons of armed forces, both armed men and civilians, higher graded mariners, technicians, important government officials, and the like who are belonging to enemy countries and the Chiang

2 3

4 5

Kai-shek regime shall be taken prisoners of war."

THE PRESIDENT: We note that it is dated the
1st of March, 1942.

MR. ROBERTS: That is correct.

We offer in evidence defense document No.

1455. This is a regulation dealing with the prisoners of war, by which it is shown that the Navy had issued instructions and procedure for dealing with prisoners, and the handling of all prisoners was to be fair and impartial.

THE PRESIDENT: It isn't what they said that matters, it is what they did that matters.

However, you may prove these things for whatever it is worth. We would assume, of course, with the start of the war, they had all the rules that should have been observed. before the Army and the Navy and the Air Force.

MR. ROBERTS: This is to prove that, as far as the central authorities are concerned, there was no over-all plan or policy for destruction as charged by the prosecution.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1455

will receive exhibit No. 3055.

(Whereupon, the document above

referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3055 and received in evidence.) MR. ROBERTS: I read exhibit No. 3055: "REGULATIONS: "THE TREATMENT OF PRISONERS OF WAR." THE PRESIDENT: Oh, don't read all this, Mr. 6 Roberts. 7 MR. ROBERTS: I will refer only to certain 8 9 paragraphs: "(Naval Ministerial Notification, No. 33, 10 17 February 1904 Amended by Notification No. 407, 11 12 1941) 13 "Article 5. "In case a prisoner of war is guilty of an 14 act of disobedience he may be subjected to confinement, 15 binding or any other measures deemed necessary. 16 "In case a prisoner of war attempts desertion, 17 the force of arms may be used for its prevention, if 18 19 necessary. 20 "Article 5 - 2. 21 "A prisoner of war who attempts desertion or 22 performs undisciplined acts shall be subjected to dis-23 ciplinary punishment. 24 "The preceding punishment shall be effected 25 according to the regulations stipulated in Naval

.

Disciplinary Punishment Ordinance, Art. 10-14, Art. 22-24, and Art. 31-36.

"The disciplinary punishment of a prisoner of war shall be enforced by the chief of the naval officials who is then in charge of the internment of the said prisoner of war.

"Article 6.

"The naval commandant shall deliver
prisoners of war with their roster, the prisoners
of war journal, their articles kept under custody and
the inventory of these articles to a naval station,
minor naval station, or to the nearest naval authorities.

"Should the prisoners of war die during the delivery, their roster, personal belongings, and all other documents and articles shall be delivered.

"Article 9.

"Naval stations, minor stations or other naval authorities, on receiving the delivery of prisoners of war shall detain them in any temporary camp available which has adequate facilities to prevent them from desertion until such time as when transportation or redelivery is possible.

"Article 15.

"Commanders-in-chief of naval stations,

4 5

 Commanders-in-chief of minor naval stations or other naval authorities shall hand over at the place designated prisoners-of-war under their care together with the prisoners of war roster, prisoners of war journal, prisoners of war articles under custody, inventory of those articles and all other document to the army authorities who are to receive the prisoners of war."

I next offer in evidence defense document No. 1456. This is a report on the prisoner of war administration made to GHQ by the Tokyo Central Liaison Office. This shows that all the prisoners taken by the Navy had to be turned over to the Army; that the care of the prisoners by the Navy was only a temporary measure until they were turned over to the Army.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1456

will receive exhibit No. 3056.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3056 and received in evidence.)

MR. ROBERTS: I read exhibit No. 3056, beginning on page 6, marked "Enclosure 2:"

"ON POW'S CAMP ADMINISTRATION IN NAVY

"In principle, all prisoners of war captured by the Navy were to be handed over to the Army; but

3

4 5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

23

24

25

until such time, there were cases when they were placed under temporary naval supervision in improvised camps. At those camps, the prisoners of war were placed under regulations of war based on international agreements, to be treated the same as regular prisoners of war.

"Control of these temporary camps was effected along the following lines:

"1. (a) The name of each organization, which had policy making or administrative authority or responsibility with reference to such prisons:

"That party designated by the Commander-in-Chief of a Major or Minor Naval Station or the Commander of an operational force and which, for convenience, we shall here call A. (It was customary in such cases to designate the commander of the defense area in question.)

"A in his turn designated another party
(Usually a Junior Officer whom we shall here call B,
who commands a group of petty officers including
hygienist seamen and other necessary personnel) as
well as interpreters and other civilian employees whom
we shall, as a group, call C.

"(b) The exact limits of the authority or responsibility of each such organization:

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

13

14 15

16

17

18

20

21

24

25

23

"A performs direct supervision over the camp under orders from the Commander-in-Chief of a Major or Minor Naval Station or the Commander of an operational force.

"B carries out actual management of the camp under orders from A concerning the supervision of POW.

"C work under orders from B.

"(c) Re orders, status, regulations or other sources of such authority or responsibility:

"A issues necessary instructions for the direct supervision of the camp.

"B, on the basis of orders from A and with his approval established necessary regulations for the supervision of prisoners of was in Temporary Camp."

That is the end.

I now refer to defense document No. 1648, which has been introduced as exhibit No. 2983. This is an excerpt from a Fleet Order. It constitutes directions from the Minister of the Navy, so far as the military administration was concerned, but it shows he was under the jurisdiction of the Chief of the Naval General Staff regarding the matter of operations. I refer only to Articles 10, 11, and 31

in this exhibit.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, we have no documents here. You are not going to read any part of it? MR. ROBERTS: No, I am not.

I now call the witness TOMIOKA Sadatoshi.

MR. TAVENNER: May I ask defense counsel what they propose to do about documents 1940 and 1941, which have been skipped?

MR. ROBERTS: In view of the fact that they are referred to in this witness' affidavit, they will be introduced after this witness.

1	SADATOSHI TOMIOKA, called as a witness
2	on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn,
3	testified through Japanese interpreters as follows
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. ROBERTS:
6	Q Please state your name and address.
7	A My name is TOMIOKA Sadatoshi; my address
8	is No. 1792, Hiyoshi Honmachi, Kohoku-ku, Yokohama
9	City.
10	MR. ROBERTS: May the witness be shown
11	défense document No. 1945?
12	(Whereupon, a document was handed
13	to the witness.)
14	Q Please examine this document and tell us
15	whether or not it is your sworn affidavit.
16	A The Japanese affidavit is mine, undoubtedly.
17	Q Is it true and correct?
19	A Yes.
20	MR. ROBERTS: I offer in evidence defense
21	document No. 1945.
22	THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
23	Read it after the recess, Mr. Roberts.
24	We will recess for fifteen minutes.
25	(Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was
	taken until 1105, after which the proceedings
11	, , vio procoutings

were resumed as follows:) the front Alberta factor December 1944 Chief of Street "Q . Sat Avites here you by sharps of as Crief the last profile world though the estimate of 

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document NO. 1945 will receive exhibit 3057.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3057.)

MR. ROBERTS: I read exhibit No. 3057:

"Q What post did you hold at the time you were demobilized?

"A Chief of the First Division, Naval General Staff.

"Q Give us a general outline of posts you occupied after around December 1941?

"A From October 1940 to January 1943 Chief of the 1st Section, Naval General Staff; from January 1943 to 5 November 1944 service on the seas and at the front lines; after December 1944 Chief of the First Division, Naval General Staff until the termination of war during which period I was promoted to Rear Admiral in November 1943.

"Q hat duties were you in charge of as Chief of the 1st Section, Naval General Staff?

"A The 1st Section comes under the command of the Chief of the First Division, Naval General Staff.

22 23

24

It takes charge of general military operations of the Japanese Navy and my duties were to command the members of the 1st Section and conduct the planning of general military operations as well as drafting the orders and instructions concerning the operations.

"Q In early October 1942, did you dispatch Lieutenant Commander Sadatomo OKADA, Staff Officer of Naval General Staff, to Kwajalein Island?

"A Not only to Kwajalein Island but few other places.

"Q Please state the purpose of the mission, areas dispatched to, etc.

"A In early October 1942 it was decided at Imperial Headquarters to organize a combined inspection party from both the army and navy with the purpose of inspecting the front lines, mainly to re-examine the defense projects in the Pacific Ocean area. They were to be sent to Marshalls group, the Marianas group, the Carolines group, the Solomons group, New Britain Island, etc. From the Naval General Staff, I gave orders to Sadatomo OKADA, who at the time was Lieutenant Commander and Staff Officer, to be dispatched to the above mentioned areas as member of the inspection party. He was my subordinate as staff member of the 1st Section and was mainly in charge of defense

preparations. From the Navy Ministry, Kumataro
NAKAO, Commander and member of the Education Bureau,
was dispatched to go along with Staff Officer OKADA
as specialist because he was experienced in actual
defense preparations.

"Q Who gave orders to Sadatomo OKADA?

"A I gave the orders.

"Q Did you give the purpose and duties of the mission to OKADA?

"A I, as Chief of the 1st Section, Naval
General Staff, personally and directly gave purpose and
duties at all times whenever a member of the Section
was sent out. In the case of OKADA, it was no exception, and I gave him purpose and duties of the mission.

"Q Did you give to OKADA orders for Vice-Admiral ABE, Commander of Kwajalein Island, concerning prisoners of war?

"A The 1st Section of the Naval General Staff does not handle matters dealing with prisoners of war. It was outside my competence and I have not given any order or instructions.

"Q At the time, did you know that Vice Admiral ABE, Commander of Kwajalein Island, held prisoners of war in custody?

"A I did not know.

"Q Were there prisoners of war in the areas where you dispatched OKADA at the time?

"A I knew by reports that there were prisoners of war at the Fourth Fleet in Truk Island and at the 8th Naval Base Corps in Rabaul.

"Q Vice-Admiral ABE has stated that he was informed by Staff Officer OKADA that the disposition of central authorities was to execute prisoners where they were captured and not send them to Japan. Can you recall anything related to his statement?

"A Nothing. It is something we never knew about. Vice-Admiral ABE's statement differs greatly with the Japanese Navy's official forms for forwarding orders. In our navy, orders are issued in the form of telegrams or documents other than giving oral orders directly to the receiver. There is positively no form such as forwarding an order orally through a third person. I also do not know any case when an order or instruction was forwarded directly to a unit under the command of a naval fleet from the naval General Staff without first going through the fleet headquarters.

"Q What was the name of the unit stationed on Kwajalein Island and what were its direct and immediate system of command?

"A The 6th Naval Base Corps was stationed on

Kwajalein Island and at the time Rear Admiral Koso ABE was commander. The unit was under the over-all command of Vice-Admiral Shigemi INOUE, Commander in Chief of the 4th Fleet.

"Q Give the procedure on how orders and instructions were forwarded from the Naval General Staff to the Commander of Kwajalein Island.

"A Positively in no case would an order or instruction be sent directly to the Commander of Kwajalein Island from the Naval General Staff.

General Staff are sent to headquarters of the Combined Fleet. Normally they are forwarded to units under the command of the Combined Fleet in the form of orders from fleet headquarters itself. Exceptions are when orders and instructions from the Naval General Staff are concurrently sent to headquarters of the Combined Fleet and to headquarters of the Fourth Fleet. There are positively no precedents in the Japanese Navy when an order was sent directly from the Naval General Staff to the Commander of the 6th Naval Base Corps or when headquarters of the Fourth Fleet merely acted as intermediary in communicating an order to the Commander of the 6th Naval Base Corps.

"Q Does the Navy Ministry ever give orders

2

4 5

6

8

9

11

13

15

14

16

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

	7.007/5
directly	to a member of the Naval General Staff?
"A	No.
"Q	When did Staff Officer OKADA return?
"A	Approximately one month later after completing
the object	cts of the mission.
"Q	Did you receive OKADA's report?
"A	I did.
"Q	Was there anything concerning the prisoners
of war of	n Kwajalein?
"A	Nothing whatsoever.
"Q	Where is OKADA now?
"A	He was killed in action in the Philippines
on 26 De	cember 1944. Kumataro NAKAO who accompanied
OKADA and	d a naval commander in rank at the time was
also kil	led in action on 16 May 1945 on board the
warship !	Haguro in the Malacca Straits.
"Q	During the war have you ever participated in
planning	operations for submarines and also drafting
orders,	etc2
"A	I have.
"Q	What was the length of period?
"A	From November 1941 to January 1943 and from
early Dec	cember 1944 till the termination of war.
"Q	During the war what course did the Japanese

Navy take in submarine warfare?

	The store of the Charles and other and the separate		
1	"A Classifying the course into roughly three		
2			
3	stages, they were:		
4	"(1) The period when they worked havoc on		
5	communication lines coordinating with fleet operations		
6	doing a side job.		
7	"(2) The period when major force was devoted		
8	to destruct lines of communication.		
9	"(3) The period when they engaged in		
10	menacing communication lines as well as supplying the		
11	advanced bases.		
12	there is to character in taglifus with shipping. This		
13	THE AMERICA ON TO PERSON STORY OF THE PARTY OF THE		
14	and the second of the second s		
15	prendential that we weak and area to be a first		
17	The training and the suppression of the tent department of the		
18	The plant of operation for the caston.		
19	a tracket leavestable on official or paretime in the the		
20	And the transfer of the contract the Parists Country		
21	the same partners object of substitution wanters can		
22	to relational relation the minutes of the C. H. Plants.		
23	the distribution of appropriation times was at the		
24	STOCKHARLY COLORS IN CO.		
26			

24

"Q State the change in each stage in operational policies for submarine warfare.

"A From the outbreak of war to June 1942 was called the first stage of operation.

"The policy of operation for this period was indicated to Commander in Chief of the Combined Fleet YAMAMOTO by the Chief of Naval General staff in 'Imperial Headquarters Naval Command Instruction No. 15'. It pointed out the course of submarine operations in relation with general sea warfare in the primary stages at the outbreak of war, and items to be observed in dealing with shipping. This was drafted on 30 November 1941 by order of the Chief of the First Division, Naval General staff in preparation should war break out with the U. S., Britain and the Netherlands in the future.

"The plans of operation for this period attached importance on offensive operations in the southern regions and therefore in the Pacific Ocean area, the primary object of submarine warfare was to watch and pursue the movements of the U.S. fleets and the destruction of communication lines was of secondary importance.

"Operations for submarines attached to forces operating in the south placed primary

2

A

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

24 25 importance on duties involving offensive operations in the southern region and the destruction of communication lines was of secondary importance.

"Especially, the sea communication line destruction warfare in the Indian Ocean area had been instructed to strengthen activities after operations in the southern region has reached a certain stage. Furthermore, in performing the destruction of communication lines the sentiment of the Japanese Navy respecting human lives and abiding by warfare laws and regulation is clarified in Imperial Headquarters Naval Department Instructions of 30 November 1941 and 1 March 1942. This policy has not been altered throughout the whole period of the war. The next period was called the second stage of operations. It lasted from June 1942 to March 1943. The characteristics of this period was putting primary importance on destruction of maritime communication lines.

"During the First Stage of Operations the offensive strategy in southern areas reached a definite stage and so importance was attached to communication line destruction warfare. Cruisers and other surface crafts were employed along with the submarines to work havac and confuse the enemy.

The bulk of the submarine force were allocated for this purpose. Main water-areas for submarine attacks were in the following order:

"(a) Southeastern coast of Africa and northwestern part of the Indian Ocean.

"(b) Eastern coast of Australia and Cook's Strait area.

"In the Pacific Ocean area the emphasis of destructing communication lines was laid on severing the supply route to the U.S. forces in the southern areas by blockading the communication sea lanes between the U.S. and Australia.

"The operations for this period was indicated to Commander in Chief of the Combined Fleet YAMAMOTO from the Chief of Naval General Staff on 22 June 1942 as Imperial Headquarters Naval Command Instruction No. 107. It was drafted during my term of office.

"The third stage of submarine warfare was based on a policy issued on 25 March 1943. It was planned and drafted by my successor Chikao YAMAMOTO in the form of Imperial Headquarters Naval Command Instruction No. 209. Characteristics of this order are:

"(1) Emphasis of the operation was put on

destruction of maritime communication lines, which is the same as in the second stage of operations. "(2) Supplying advanced bases by using a

part of the submarine force.

"(3) Performing coordinated operations with German submarines in the Indian Ocean area.

"What I have stated so far was the general policy and changes of submarine operations adopted by the Japanese Navy during the recent war.

"Q During the recent war, was an operation policy either planned or indicated by the Imperial Headquarters to annihilate the crew members except for a few necessary to obtain information when sinking enemy shipping by submarines?

"A Such a thing was never planned nor indicated by Imperial Headquarters Naval Command Instructions. If it were indicated, it should be recorded in the file of Imperial Headquarters Naval Command Instructions. Not only is there no such record but this is very far from the spirit of the Japanese Navy.

"Q Did the German authorities ever make any such request?

"A Not when I was at the Naval General Staff.

"Q Have you ever read or heard about the First Submarine Force Order issued by the Commander of the

11

10

7

8

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

23

First submarine Flotilla on 20 March 1943?

"A No, I haven't.

"Q What instructions did the Japanese Navy give as regards respecting human life and abiding by international law and regulation in submarine operations?"

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please, due to the taking up of these matters out of order on the order of proof, the document referred to here has not been offered in evidence and may be inadmissible. Therefore, objection is made to this question and answer until the directive involved is actually produced.

THE PRESIDENT: It is in the order of proof, isn't it? Are you sure of that?

MR. TAVENNER: It is a little difficult to say. I think it is, but that is the reason that I want it cleared up.

THE PRESIDENT: You will proceed with the reading, Mr. Roberts. There is no reason why he should not read his affidavit. It has been admitted and we can consider your objection later when the document comes.

MR. TAVENNER: Probably I should be more

specific. The portion quoted here in the affidavit does not appear in the order No. 15 which I have in the order list.

MR. ROBERTS: That is defense document No. 1940 which will be introduced, together with a certificate.

THE PRESIDENT: For the time being we take your word for it, Mr. Roberts, so proceed.

MR. ROBERTS (Reading):

"A It was indicated in Imperial Headquarters
Naval Command Instruction No. 15 issued 30 November
1941 to the effect that, 'it is lawful to attack
unarmed enemy merchant ships only in waters 300
nautical miles from the enemy coastline and in the
area between Hawaii and the west coast of the U. S.
mainland. In other cases otherwise than above, unless circumstances are unavoidable, time must be
given for crew and passengers to seek safety.' As
for dealing with shipping of neutral countries,
instructions were given to take measures as provided
in international law and regulations with the exception of the four nations of Panama, Norway, Denmark
and Greece.

"Further in Imperial Headquarters Naval Command Instruction No. 60 issued 1 March 1942 it gave

instructions on the following points:

"(1) In performing operations on surface shipping take formal procedures to the extent possible, and always inspect the ship. However, due to unavoidable circumstances if the ship was sunk, endeavor to rescue human lives.

"(2) Capture hostile shipping whenever possible and escort them to our port or harbor.

"However, if circumstances do not permit the above, take expeditious measure and escort ship to nearest port or harbor, or else dispose of the ship after crew and cargo are removed.

"(3) Service men, civilians attached to the armed services, merchant ship officers, technicians and government high-ranking personnel of the Chiang Kai-shek regime shall be arrested as prisoners of war together with nationals of belligerent nations.

"In this war, considerable attention was devoted in respecting human lives and to abide by international law and regulations."

At this time I offer for identification defense document No. 1940 which is a collection of directives of the Imperial General Headquarters Navy Department.

CLERK OF THE COURT: The volume entitled

8

7

2

3

4

5

10

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

1 "Collective Volume of Imperial General Headquarters Instructions, Naval Fection" will receive exhibit 2 3 No. 3058 for identification only. 4 (Whereupon, the document above 5 referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 6 3058 for identification only.) 7 MR. ROBERTS: I offer in evidence defense 8 document 1940, which is an excerpt from exhibit 9 3058 for identification. This is only a partial 10 document; the annex referred to therein is covered 11 by a certificate in defense document 2294 which will 12 also be offered. 13 THE PRESIDENT: Where is 2294? Is it on this 14 order of proof? 15 MR. TAVENNER: It is not on our order of 16 proof. 17 THE PRESIDENT: It is not on mine. 18 MR. ROBERTS: It is on the additional order 19 of proof which accompanied the original order of 20 proof dated August 28. 21 MR. TAVENNER: We do not know anything about 22 23 it.

24 25

THE PRESIDENT: It is not on any order of proof given to me.

MR. ROBERTS: This is a certificate

concerning the loss of some of the instructions by fire, and I shall be glad to recall it and offer it after the recess so that we can locate it. 

Due a & spratt

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

not objecting to the offering of defense document 1940, but in my objection a moment ago to the last question and answer on page 10 of the present witness' affidavit, I called attention to the fact that the material cited there does not appear in any draft of rule 15 or instruction 15 which appears on the order list. I understood that counsel assured us that this quotation was contained in a document which he would presently present.

THE PRESIDENT: He gave us his word that it was in 1940, and it is not; but he may have had some other document in mind.

I desire to renew my objection to the matter appearing on page 10 of the witness' affidavit, and I will withdraw it if he produces a document in compliance with his statement.

1940 it will disclose a reference to an annex concerning the policy of the navy. The document that I toferred to as 2294 covers the annex and the information contained in the affidavit.

MR. ROBERTS: I would like to proceed to have

the 1940 marked and read that, and then the other 1 document can be offered after the Clerk obtains copies 2 of 2294. 3 Mi. TAVENNER: The prosecution will waive 5 service of the document 2294 and suggest that he 6 proceed to treat it along with 1940 so that we will 7 know what the situation is. THE PRESIDENT: Document 1940 is admitted 9 on the usual terms. 10 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1940 11 will receive exhibit No. 3058A. 12 ("hereupon, the document above 13 referred to was marked defense exhibit 14 No.3058A and received in evidence.) 15 MR. RODERTS: I read exhibit 3058A. 16 "Naval General Staff Directive No. 15 (gist). 17 "Date: November 30, 1941. 18 "From: Chief of Naval General Staff, Admiral 19 NAGANO, Osami. 20 "To: Commander-in-Chief of Combined Fleet, 21 Admiral YAMAMOTO. 22 "In case when war breaks out with the United 23 States, the British Empire, and the Kingdom of the 24

Netherlands, the policy stated in the annex shall be

the guide to the conduct of the sea communication

1

destruction warfare for the time being." At this time I offer a certificate, being 2 defense document No. 2294, which covers the material 3 set forth in the annex. 5 IR. TAVENNER: I understand the Clerk does not have a copy of this for distribution to the Members of the Tribunal, but will the Tribunal waive 8 that requirement in order to get to an end of this matter? 10 Mr. RODERTS: We can have this after lunch, 11 and I suggest that we forego it until that time. In 12 the meantime, I will proceed with something else. 13 MR. TAVENNER: I have one copy here of my 14 own, which I will be very glad to hand to the Tribunal. 15 THE PRESIDENT: What does it say? 16 MR. TAVENNER: The point is that there is 17 nothing contained in this document either relating to 18 the quotation appearing in the affidavit. That is the 19 only point I make. 20 THE PRESIDENT: What is it, a certificate --MR. RODERTS: A certificate; that is correct. THE PRESIDENT: -- that the document is lost? MR. ROBERTS: It refers to that number; that

is right. It was destroyed during an air raid May 25,

24 25

1945.

22

2

3

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE PRESIDENT: So you want to give secondary evidence?

MR. RODERTS: That is correct.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, I do not suppose we will insist on copies of the certificate, but what is in the certificate?

Mi. ROBERTS: I would like, after it is marked, to read it.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2294 will receive exhibit No. 3059.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3059 and received in evidence.) THE PRESIDENT: Read the material parts, please.

MR. ROBERTS (Reading):

The original of 'Instructions, Navy Department, Imperial Headquarters, which were issued during the Pacific War, were in the custody of the Adjutants' Office, Navy Department, Imperial Headquarters, but was lost by fire during an air raid by American planes which lasted from the midnight of May 25, 1945 until the dawn of the following day." THE PRESIDENT: Who gave that certificate?

24

MR. ROBERTS: YOSHI, Michinori.

THE PRESIDENT: The connection with this particular document is very vague, is it not?

MR. ROBERTS: I have not completed reading the specific description of the document.

"3. Since then a set of copies of the said
Instructions which were kept at the First Department
of the Naval General of Staff have been used in place
of the original, and are at present being kept and
used by the Historical Section of the Material Preparation Department. But among these copies, those issued
in 1941, i. e., the Instructions No. 1 to No. 35 were
destroyed during the above-mentioned air raid and are
not in existence."

You may cross-examine.

CAPTAIN ROBINSON: Mr. President and Members of the Tribunal.

THE PRESIDENT: Captain Robinson.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY CAPTAIN ROBINSON:

Q Mr. TOMIOKA, in regard to your statement that you did not know that Vice-Admiral ABE held prisoners of war in custody at Kwajalein -- prisoners who were later executed there -- had not Admiral ABE been asking navy headquarters at Tokyo to take these prisoners to Tokyo?

A The First Section of the Naval General Staff had no knowledge of such matters. The First Section of the Naval General Staff did not handle POW affairs.

Q You did have charge of defense matters, however?

A The matters relating to prisoners of war were handled by the Navy Ministry.

Q Will you please answer my question?

A The First Section handled operational plans.

CAPTAIN ROBINSON: Will you please read the question to the witness so I will have his answer?

A Although the word "defense" is very broad and I do not know exactly what you meanTHE MONITOR: Strike out "although."

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

---

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

A (Continuing) The word "defense" is so broad that I am not sure what you mean.

Q When it was considered that prisoners of war held at Kwajalein or Truk or Wake constituted defense problems, did their disposition not come before the competence of your section?

A The presence of prisoners of war on these islands had nothing to do with the problem of their defense. Prisoners of war were to be handled in accordance with set regulations.

Q Well, the reasons given by the local island commanders, Japanese commanders, for executing the prisoners were that they constituted a defense problem which required their execution. Is that not true?

A I cannot judge on those matters.

Q You state that you did know by reports that there were prisoners of war at Truk and in Rabaul.

How does it happen you knew about those prisoners but did not know about the prisoners at Kwajalein?

Λ I do not recollect whether there were any reports or not.

Q At the top of page 4 of your affidavit you state: "I knew by reports that there were prisoners of war at the Fourth Fleet in Truk Island and at the Eighth Naval Base Corps in Rabaul." Is that not true?

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A Yes, I did know because I remember those reports. Q And how does it happen you did not remember the report in regard to Kwajalein? 4 It is impossible for me to remember all the reports coming in from all the areas of fighting which covered a wide range. Q But reports did come in, then, to your section in regard to prisoners of war on various Pacific islands? A Reports on prisoners of war came in attached to reports on fighting. But there were times when such reports did not come with the combat reports. Q And is it not true that navy headquarters received Admiral ABE's report of the execution of these fliers at Kwajalein as transmitted by him to commander of Fourth Fleet at Truk? A I do not know. Q At page 8, middle of the page, you state that cruisers and other surface crafts were employed along with the submarines to work havoc and to confuse

the enemy in the Indian Ocean. In that reference,

were you including reference to the operations such

Cruiser Tone and other craft there in February and

as the Indian Ocean operation conducted by the

March, 1944?

I believe you mentioned the year 1944, is that correct?

That is correct.

5

I know nothing about the year 1944; about what happened in that year.

7

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

May that, then, account for the question which you made at page 10, where you state that you have never read or heard of the First Submarine Force order issued on 20 March 1943? May we attribute your

lack of knowledge of this order to the fact that you had been relieved as chief of the First Section in

1943?

That is so. Since I left my post in January, 1943, I know nothing of what occurred after that date.

CAPTAIN ROBINSON: That concludes the crossexamination, if the Court please.

I should like to refer the Court to exhibits 2055-A and 2055-C and the record at page 15,019 and 15,028.

One further question, if the Court please.

Q Directing your attention to your answer at the bottom of page 10 in regard to "Imperial Headquarters Naval Command Instruction No. 15 to the effect that ... " with some quotes following, that statement by you is

merely your recollection of what may have been contained in that order; is that correct? Yes, that is my recollection. CAPTAIN ROBINSON: That is all. THE PRESIDENT: Mr. tRoberts. MR. ROBERTS: No further questions. May 6 the witness be excused on the usual terms? THE PRESIDENT: He is excused accordingly. (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) 9 THE PRESIDENT: When do you expect to finish 10 this general phase, Mr. Roberts? . .11 . MR. ROBERTS: I anticipate finishing mine by 12 13 the end of the day. THE PRESIDENT: But there are other subphrases? 14 MR. ROBERTS: Mr. Freeman will continue when 15 16 I finish and will probably take another day or so. 17 THE PRESIDENT: What is your next evidence? 18 MR. ROBERTS: I should like to re-offer at 19 this time defense document No. 2208. I understand 20 the prosecution has already examined the basic document. 21 THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until half-22 past one. 23 (Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was 24 taken.) 25

Wolf & Lefler

## AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1335.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: With the permission of the Tribunal, the accused KIMURA is conferring with his counsel and will be so doing all the afternoon.

Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If it please the Tribunal, the date of this document is not shown on its face. We have examined the original, and it does not appear on the original. We would be willing to stipulate with counsel the date of the executive order 281, which preceded it, and the date of 283 which followed it, in order to get the dates between which this instruction was issued.

MR. ROBERTS: The date was given as October 4, 1943, in the affidavit read, now exhibit No. 3052.

I tendered this defense document, and I ask now that it be received in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, just what is your attitude, Mr. Tavenner?

MR. TAVENNER: This document having been

undated, we were uncertain --THE PRESIDENT: Yes, I understand that. 2 MR. TAVENNER: We waive rule 6-B-1 as far 3 as this document is concerned. MR. ROBERTS: In view of the fact that this 5 is an excerpt, I want to offer first the book, which 6 is defense document No. 2208, for identification only. 7 MR. TAVENNER: The prosecution has no ob-8 9 jection to its introduction now. 10 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 11 CLERK OF THE COURT: The book entitled, 12 "Imperial Headquarters Naval Orders, Part III," will 13 receive exhibit No. 3060 for identification only, the 14 excerpt therefrom being defense document 2208 will 15 receive exhibit No. 3060-A. 16 (Whereupon, the document above 17 referred to was marked defense exhibit 18 No. 3060 for identification; the excerpt 19 therefrom being marked defense exhibit 20 No. 3060-A and received in evidence.) 21 MR. ROBERTS: I read exhibit No. 3060-A: 22 "Directive No. 282, Naval Department. 23 "Imperial General Headquarters. 24 "From: NAGANO Osami, Chief of the Naval General Staff.

"To: KOGA, Commander-in-Chief of the Combined Fleet.

"It is directed that the following items should be adhered to in executing submarine operations in the Indian Ocean:

"1. The boundary between the operation area of the submarines of the Imperial Japanese Navy and that of the German submarines is set on the longitudinal line of seventy (70) degrees east as a general rule, the east side of it being allocated to Japan and the west of it to Germany.

"In case of necessity, however, they can operate anywhere irrespective of this boundary.

"2. The submarines operating in the Indian Ocean are forbidden to make any anti-submarine attack."

At this time, I offer for identification only defense document No. 1941. This is a collection also of the directives of the Imperial General Headquarters. I believe it has already been marked, has it not, in the same volume as 1943 and is now exhibit 3054 for identification?

THE PRESIDENT: Why is it 3061-A?

MR. ROBERTS: The book is marked 3054 for identification, and I believe the other documents are marked 3054-A. This may be marked 3054-B, as an

excerpt from the same two volumes.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms as 3054-A.

MR. ROBERTS: It should be B, if your Honor please.

THE PRESIDENT: Exhibit 3054-B.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3054-B for identification.)

MR. ROBERTS: This was introduced because of the references in the affidavit of the last witness, and I do not think there is any need to read it at this time.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If it please the Tribunal, as counsel has decided not to read this document, I feel it is necessary to call one sentence to the Tribunal's attention if I may be permitted to do so.

THE PRESIDENT: Just refer to the number of the clause. It is numbered, I would imagine.

page 3, and if I may be permitted, I will give other references to the same matter. This same directive is referred to in the witness YAMAMOTO's testimony, exhibit No. 3052, and also TOMIOKA's testimony, exhibit

3

1

6

.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

21

22

23 24

3057, and is the same as exhibit 3054-A.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roberts.

MR. ROBERTS: I am not sure whether there is a misunderstanding. The prosecutor seems to say that it is the same document as 3054-A or from the same directives.

MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please, the directive referred to as having been abolished is the same as document 3054-A and the same which was referred to in the testimony of the two witnesses that I mentioned.

MR. ROBERTS: And, the Court is referred to the affidavit of TOMIOKA in so far as the order is concerned, referring to the question of the survivors of submarines.

I now call the witness OHASHI Tatsuo.

42.5

TATSUO OHASHI, called as a witness on 1 behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, 2 testified through Japanese interpreters as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. ROBERTS: Q Please state your name and address. 7 A My name is OHASHI Tatsuo; my address is 57, 8 4-Chome Den en chofu, Oota War, Tokyo City. 9 MR. ROBERTS: May the witness be shown 10 defense document No. 1954? 11 (Whereupon, a document was handed 13 to the witness.) 14 Please examine this document and tell us 15 whether or not it is your sworn affidavit. 16 This is my affidavit. 17 Q Is it true and correct? 18 A It is true and correct. 19 MR. ROBERTS: I offer in evidence defense 20 document No. 1954. 21 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLFRK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1954

22 23 24

25

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit

will receive exhibit No. 3061.

\_

MR. ROBERTS: I shall read exhibit No. 3061: "Q What was your rank at the time of the Surrender? 3 "A Rear-Admiral in the Reserves. "Q Please give a short history of your service 5 6 in the Navy. "A I served as submarine captain, commander of a submarine squadron, as a staff member of the submarine section of the Kure Arsenal, 1st and 2nd Section Chief of the Kure Military Supplies Section, Captain of the submarine tender Hoshima. In August 1941 (Showa 16) 12 I was appointed Commander of the 14th Gunboat Squadron 13 and in April 1942 (Showa 17) was relegated to the re-14 serves. On the very same day of my relegation however, 15 I was recalled into service. During the Pacific War I held the following posts: 17 "From May 1942 (Showa 17) till September 1943 18 (Showa 18), Captain of the Rio de Janeiro Maru. 19 "From September 1943 (Showa 18) till December 1943 (Showa 18), Commander of the Rio de Janeiro Maru. 21 (The same boat having been converted into a transport.) "From March 1944 (Showa 19) till January 1945 23 (Showa 20), Transport Commander of 1st Escort Fleet. "From January 1945 (Showa 20) till the Sur-

20

22

24

25

render, Naval Attache at Moji.

"Q What type of ship was the Rio de Janeiro Maru? "A She was a diesel-engined passenger-transport ship displacing 10,000tons and capable of a maximum 16 knots, owned by the Osaka Shosen (O.S.K.) which had assigned her to its South American Service. The Navy 6 had conscripted this ship and had converted her into 7 an auxiliary submarine tender.

"Q What was the outward aspect of the ship? "A She was a two-masted, single-funneled ship of 10 the passenger type. The upper parts of the masts and of the funnel were painted green, the rest of the ship 12 being painted grey.

"Q Why were such colors adopted?

"A It was to avoid long-range detection by enemy submarines.

"Q Please give an account of the activities of the Rio de Janeiro Maru after you assumed her command.

"A In April 1942 she sailed to Kwajelin there to stand by for the Midway operation, but in June of the same year she returned to Sasebo. After leaving Sasebo for Penang in July of the same year, she was attacked by an enemy submarine en route off the coast of French Indo-China and damaged. She entered Singapore harbor for repairs. On completion of repairs in December 1942 she sailed for Surabaya.

13

9

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

"From January till October 1943 she was
occasionally engaged in transport duty, using Surabaya
as base for her activities.
"Q Why was she used for transport duty?
"A As Surabaya was a submarine base, unless
submarines required use of advanced bases, there was
no need for tenders. On the other hand there was a
shortage of ships.
"Q What did she transport?
"A Personnel and military supplies.
"Q Would weapons and ammunition be included in
the above?
"A Of course they would be included.
"Q In what areas did she operate?
"A In Java, Borneo, Celebes, New Guinea, Anbon,
the Philippines, the Small Sunda Archipelago, Timor etc.
"Q Did you ever transport patients?
"A No, never.
"Q When did you touch Anbon?
"A The 1st time was in the middle of January 1943.
If I remember rightly, we touched at that port about
twice between that time and March of the same year.
"Q What was the object in stopping at Anbon?

"A It was for purposes of local transportation

of personnel and military supplies.

1	"Q For approximately how many days did you
2	anchor at Anbon when you stopped there?
3	"A Always for a day or two.
4	"Q Were POW's used by the local troops in the
5	loading and unloading of freight?
6	"A I do not remember.
7	"Q Did you ever take patients aboard at Anbon?
8	"A Never.
9	"Q What approximately was the crew of the Rio
10	de Janeior Maru?
11	"A Approximately 300.
12	"Q Was the crew armed?
13	"A The necessary personnel were armed.
15	. "Q Under what command was the Rio de Janeiro Maru
16	subordinate?
17	"A In April 1942 she was under the direct command
18	of the Combined Fleet, and belonged to the 5th Submarin
19	Squadron. But from June 1942 onwards she was attached
20	to the Submarine Unit of the South-Western Fleet.
21	"Q What happened to the Rio de Janeiro Maru after
22	September 1943?
23	"A As in September 1943 she was turned over to
24	the auxiliary transport category, she was sent back
25	to the Japanese mainland for refitting.
	"After that for a time she was in the Harima
	AT DEL VILLE

Dockyards being refitted. I hear that she was sunk in Truk in February 1944 after completion of the refitting. I left her in December of the same year." 3 THE PRESIDENT: That can't be right. 4 can't be December, 1944. She was sunk then. MR. ROBERTS: I think he meant of the previous 6 year, 1943. (Reading continued): 8 "Q Did the Rio de Janeiro Maru ever assume the 9 Red Cross insignia during the time you were aboard her? 10 "A No, she never did. 11 "When I read in the papers that an Australian 12 POW or it may have been a POW of another nation, stood 13 14 as a witness in the International Tribunal to testify that the Rio de Janeiro Maru had used the Red Cross 15 16 sign at Anbon, I could not help but wonder how such a 17 mistake had been possible. 18 "Q Was there a naval hospital ship by the name 19 of Rio de Janeiro Maru? 20 "A I never heard mention of such a ship. 21 "Signed the 10th day of July, 1947."

23 24

22

25

THE PRESIDENT: Commander Cole.

You may cross-examine.

COMMANDER COLE: I desire to point out to the Tribunal the prosecution testimony relating to the

1	matters testified by this witness appears at pages
2	13,990 and 14,023 of the record.
3	There will be no cross-examination.
4	MR. ROBERTS: May the witness be excused on
5	the usual terms?
6	BY THE PRESIDENT:
7	Q Did the Rio de Janeiro ever transport prisoners
8	of war?
9	A Yes, it has.
0	Q When was that?
1	A I forgot the month. It was in 1943. I believe
2	it was probably either June or July of that year.
3	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. ROBERTS:
5	Q Do you know between what ports?
6	A Although we had originally planned to transport
7	them from Makassar to Surabaya, just before entering
8	Surabaya Harbor we found that the harbor had been mined
9	and ther fore we changed our course and landed them in
0	Batavia.
1	MR. ROBERTS: May the witness be excused on
2	
3	the usual terms?
4	BY THE PRESIDENT:
5	Q Was there anything to indicate on the ship

itself that she was carrying prisoners of war?

.

A No.

THE PRESIDENT: That will do, thank you, You are excused on the usual terms.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

MR. ROBERTS: We call now the witness OKOCHI, Denshichi.

16.

1	DENSHICHI OKOCHI, called as a witness
2	on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn,
3	testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:
	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. ROBERTS:
6	Q Please state your name and address.
7	A My name is OKOCHI, Denshichi; my address 335
3	Minami E zoku-cho, Ota-ku, Tokyo.
9	MR. ROBERTS: May the witness be shown defense
10	document No. 1607.
11	(Whereupon, a document was handed to
12	the witness.)
13	Q Please examine this document and tell us whether
14	or not it is your sworn affidavit.
15	A This is my affidavit. However, in section two
16	and section three I have employed the words "land oper-
17	ations."
18	THE INTERPRETER: The witness used the word
19	"rikujo" in Japanese and corrected that to "chijo."
20	
21	They both mean land. However, Chijo may be translated
22	"ground."
23	Q Is the affidavit otherwise true and correct?
24	A That is so.
	MP PORFETS. I offer in evidence defense

document No. 1607.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1607

will receive exhibit No. 3062.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3062 and received in evidence.)

MR. ROBERTS: I read exhibit No. 3062:

"1. I am a former Vice-Admiral.

"2. On 2 November 1944, I arrived in Manila and assumed my duties as Commander-in-Chief of the South-Western Area Fleet and concurrently of the Third Southern Expeditionary Fleet.

"However, by the latter part of December, 1944, we had lost the greater part of our naval force and our air power too had diminished considerably.

"Consequently, after that, I took command of the remnants of the (naval) units ashore, but in the Philippines Area even these units were soon placed under the command of the Army as far as their land operations were concerned. Therefore, I merely directed and supervised personnel affairs and accounts.

"3. In january, 1945, when U.S. forces commenced landing operations at Lingayen, I left Manila on the 5th and moved to Bagio. This was due to circumstances which arose from the necessity of taking command

3

1

6

9

10

11

13

14

16

15

17

19

20

21

22

24

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

of over-all military operations. The naval forces left 2 behind in Manila at that time were placed under the 3 Command of General YAMASHITA as far as land operations were concerned, from zero hours, 6 January. After that I was only able to give moral encouragements indirectly, for I no longer possessed authority to take command over naval landing forces in land warfare.

"4. From then on, all communication between us and the forces in Manila was made by wireless. As time elapsed the efficiency of the wireless communication greatly decreased, but still it was continued for a considerable time. The progress of the battles in Manila was therefore reported to me as well as to General YAMASHITA. With the information I then received, I was able to picture the desperate situation of the Japanese units in Manila when the U.S. forces beseiged the city. From what I can recall today, I shall mention a few examples.

"(1) Enemy shelling and bombing were extremely intense and the whole area was completely devastated.

"(2) Guerrilla activities were intensified and even women and children harassed our forces, greatly hindering our operations.

Barton & Kapleau

## "(3) Ammunition ran short.

"Thus, externally, our forces faced the furious gunfire of the U. S. forces, while internally
they were harassed by guerrillas. It was evident that
our units were fighting under great difficulty and
disadvantage. Around 20 February, communication with
Manila was completely cut, and I judged that the entire garrison in Manila had been killed.

"5. Besides the progress of the battle in general as I have stated above, details as to the internal condition of our units were entirely unknown and the so-called 'Manila atrocities' were something I did not even dream of.

"About 13 April a message from Tokyo in the name of the Vice-Minister for the Navy was received, which said, 'Spain has recently severed diplomatic relations with Japan on the ground that Japanese troops massacred Spanish nationals in the battle of Manila. Report on the matter is requested.'

"It was the first time that I felt some incident must have occurred.

"However, since all personnel involved in the alleged incident had been killed, there was no way for us to conduct an investigation. I also enquired of the Army Headquarters under General YAFASHITA, but they

16.

4

6

7

5

8

9

11

12 13

14

16

19

20

18

21

22

23

24

too did not know anything about the incident and therefore I cabled a reply to Tokyo to that effect.

"As I have stated, the Manila Incident without either General YAMASHITA's or my knowledge.

"I learned about the particulars of the incident for the first time after the surrender, from the indictment against General YAMASHITA."

"Dated this 15th day of March, 1947."

Counsel for MUTO, Mr. OKAMOTO, would like to conduct further examination.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, counselor.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. S. OKAMOTO:

Q In the first paragraph, that is, paragraph
No. 1, you employed the words rikujo sakusen, meaning
"land operation" or "ground operation," whereas in
paragraph 3 you use the words chijo as well as rikujo -THE MONITOR: Rikujo sakusen and rikujo sento."

(Continuing) There seems to be some ambiguity about that expression.

THE PRESIDENT: It is quite immaterial, in any event. Nothing turns on it, and you have made the correction.

IR. S. OKAMOTO: What I should like to clarify is the difference of the meaning between the two words.

I, should like to know whether <u>rikujo</u> <u>sakusen</u> is not an operation which is narrower in scope than <u>rikujo</u> <u>sento</u>.

THE MONITOR: Correction, please. "I should like to ask whether or not <u>rikujo sento</u> is an operation of a narrower scope than <u>rikujo sakusen</u>.

THE PRESIDENT: We don't want these discussions on the meaning of Japanese words unless they are material.

MR. S. OKAMOTO: I wanted to make clear through this witness the difference between the word "operation" and the word "battle."

THE PRESIDENT: What bearing has it on any issue?

MR. S. OKAMOTO: I will try to prove this through some other means. I shall change the subject.

of Mr. Witness, do you know the operations policy entertained by General YAMASHITA at the time immediately after the landing of the American troops near Manila on the 15th of December, 1944?

A Yes, I do.

Q Will you please outline his policy briefly?

THE PRESIDENT: Oh, this is impossible.

Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: Objection is made, if the Tri-

25

23

21

22

bunal please, on the ground of lack of relevancy and materiality.

THE PRESIDENT: Objection upheld.

MR. S. OKAMOTO: I should like to state my opinion as a counsel for MUTO.

THE PRESIDENT: Do, but do not waste our time.

MR. S. OKAMOTO: I shall respect time.

This has a very important bearing to prove the fact that at headquarters -- that the headquarters was not expecting the outbreak of atrocities, and that they also did their best to prevent the outbreak of atrocities. That can be absolutely given in the short time of five or six minutes, and it will take only fifteen minutes to put all my questions.

THE PRESIDENT: Now, we don't want to be coaxed into allowing you to ask questions that are not really relevant or material. Questions of what was General YAMASHITA's policy are quite vague and now allowable. Try again.

YAMASHITA in the area around Manila at the time immediately after the landing of the United States forces on Mindanao Island on the 19th of November --

THE MONITOR: 15th of December, 1944.

THE PRESIDENT: We don't want to know the

2

3

4 5

7

8

10

13

14

15

18

17

19

21

23

24

details of General YAMASHITA's campaign in Manila from an admiral.

MR. S. OKAMOTO: This witness was stationed at Bagio near the place where General YAMASHITA's headquarters was located, and he was consistently -- constantly in contact with YAMASHITA.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, one of my colleagues
thinks that, as the accused MUTO was Chief of Staff
under YAMASHITA, the policy is of importance. Any
question which is likely to induce an answer showing
that any of the accused was not responsible for the
atrocities will be allowed; but so far no such question has been put.

MR. S. OKAMOTO: That point will be clarified if this question is allowed.

THE PRESIDENT: Ask a proper question. I am not preventing you from proving anything relevant or material, but do ask proper questions. Don't ask questions about the policy of these generals. That may take weeks to answer.

MR. S. OKAMOTO: Then, I shall try some other means.

Q Did General YAMASHITA in those days adopt operations plans to render impossible the use of the harbor installations of Manila City, then to evacuate

2 3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

17

19

20

21

tion.

2324

25

as much raw materials as possible from the city, and later to carry on the fighting chiefly in the mountainous area -- in the triangular mountainous area?

THE PRESIDENT: Nr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: Objection is made on the ground of its being a leading question.

IR. S. OKANOTO: As I am afraid of putting this type of question which would be considered as a leading question, I put my first question, that is, concerning the policy of the General, and your Honor stated my question was too vague.

THE PRESIDENT: It is not objectionable because it is leading; it is objectionable because it is indefinite.

Objection upheld.

Who is the American counsel appearing in the same interest as you?

MR. S. OKAMOTO: Mr. Cole.

THE PRESIDENT: Perhaps he can assist.

IR. S. OKAMOTO: I will put another ques-

Did you, Mr. Witness, express some opinion concerning strategy or operations to General YAMASHITA around about the 20th -- the 10th of February, 1945?

A Yes, I did.

o What did you say?

A At the time, since the fighting was still going on inside Manila City, I felt it was useless to
continue such fighting. I sent my Chief of Staff
to General YAMASHITA in order to beg him for the withdrawal of the Manila garrison. The Chief. of Staff
returned and reported to me that such orders had
already been issued.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please, objection is made on the ground that nothing that the witness said could be relevant here or material to any issue.

THE PRESIDENT: I am sorry to say I am in entire agreement with you, Mr. Tavenner.

This is the most hopeless attempt at examination in my experience in this court.

MR. S. OKAMOTO: I wish to prove that the headquarters was eager to prevent the outbreak of atrocities.

THE PRESIDENT: Go about it the right away.

- Q Then, what was the strength of the Navy unit when the right of command was delegated to the Army?
  - A Approximately 20,000.
- O What was the strength of the Army unit when the right of command was delegated to the Army?

2

1

5

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

24

1	A I heard there were two battalions.
2	MR. S. OKAMOTO: That is all, your Honor.
3	MR. ROBERTS: You may cross-examine.
4	THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.
5	MR. TAVENNER: The prosecution does not de-
6	sire to cross-examine.
7	MR. ROBERTS: May the witness be excused on
8	the usual terms.
9	THE PRESIDENT: He is excused on the usual
10	terms.
11	(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
12	MR. ROBERTS: "We now call the witness ARIMA,
13	Gen.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	CONTROL OF THE PARTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE
21	
22	
23	The state of the s
24	The state of the s
	The state of the s

1	SHIZUKA ARIMA, called as a witness in
2	behelf of the defense, being first duly sworn,
3	testified through Japanese interpreters as follows
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. ROBERTS:
6	Q Please give us your name and address.
7	A My name is ARIMA, Shizuka (Gen); my address,
8	101-Chome, Nakameguro Meguroku, Tokyo.
9	MR. ROBERTS: May the witness be shown de-
10	fense document No. 1256?
11	(Whereupon, a document was handed to the
12	witness.)
13	Q Please examine this document and tell us
14	whether it is your sworn affidavit.
15	A This is mine.
16	Q Is it true and correct?
17	A Yes, it is.
18	MR. ROBERTS: I offer in evidence defense
19	document 1256.
20	THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
21	CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1256
22	will receive exhibit No. 3063.
23	(Whereupon, the document above referred
25	to was marked defense exhibit 3063 and received
	in evidence.)

-

MR. ROBERTS: I read exhibit No. 3063:

"I. I am at present Chief of the Medical Section of the Second Demobilization Bureau.

"II. The outline of my official career is as follows:

"September 15th, 1938, member of the Medical Bureau of the Navy Ministry.

"June 1st, 1942, Chief of the Quarentine Section of the Sanitation Bureau of the Civil Government under the South-west area fleet.

"November 1st, 1942, Surgeon Captain.

"November 20th, 1943, Chief Medical Officer, the First Fleet dispatched to the South.

"May 9th, 1945, Chief of the First Section, the Medical Bureau of the Navy Ministry.

handling prisoners of war was in the hands of the army and the navy was not supposed to keep and manage prisoners of war; therefore, we had no special stock of medical goods for prisoners of war. Accordingly, when the navy interned prisoners as a temporary step during the period between their capture and their transfer to the army, the unit which was in charge of managing them was supplied with medical stores for the total number of soldiers in the unit and pri-

25

21

22

23

soners, and all medical treatment for them was carried out without discrimination, treatment for prisoners was on the same standard as the sick and wounded of the unit; seriously ill prisoners were allowed to enter a navy hospital exactly as Japanese officers and soldiers.

"Thus, the navy neither treated them particularly warmly because they were prisoners nor treated
them especially coldly. Medical treatment for them
was carried out strictly and fairly in accordance
with 'Navy Regulations for Handling Prisoners of War.'

"IV. As to the stock of medical stores, it was a fundamental principle of the Navy to keep materials which would last for a year for peacetime national defense, out of necessity caused simply by the fact that it has forces under it. However, it was a basic standard regardless of the sort of enemy country or the term of the probable war. In the case of the last war, therefore, the outbreak of the war found us provided with this quantity.

"In other words, concerning medical stores, we had no particular instruction to take steps to enable us to wage war for four years against America, Britian, Holland and others. For we learned about the outbreak of the last war and its scale only from

ordinary news reports, and, as far as medical materials were concerned, we faced the war unprepared.

incre. The of surgeons and medical men following the increase of prisoners the navy had no plan to manage prisoners of war as previously mentioned; therefore, the number of men in a unit which was in temporary charge of prisoners was increased. In view of this, a step to increase surgeons and medical men to cope with the increase of its number was adopted. However, on account of the rapid increase in the army's strength and the unexpected organization of various troops after the outbreak of the war, the increase in number of surgeons and medical men, who must have special education, could not meet the necessity.

"VI. A plan for distribution of medical materials, providing for the supply of the basic quantity for the total number of the unit plus the estimated quantity made necessary by the particular duties and sanitary conditions of its station, was adopted in accordance with the account and Supply Regulations of Medical Stores. (Refer to Notice No. 237 issued in July 1941).

"The person responsible for distributing these materials was the chief of the medical stores

section of the medicine bureau of the respective navy hospital. Otherwise, the apothecary officer of a navy hospital ship supplied them at the request of a medical officer of a surgeon in charge of handling the military materials of a unit.

Even though a demand is made it was impossible to supply it when there was no stock in the navy stores or Japan proper. At distant places sometimes supplies did not arrive because of sinking. When communications and traffic between many points on the battle-front were stopped or were strained as in the latter half of the war, it was impossible to supply demands.

"VII The chain of command concerning medical business in the navy included the chief of the medical bureau of the Navy Ministry who was an assistant to the Minister and commanded or ordered his subordinates not directly but only in the name of the Minister.

Regarding military administration commanders-in-chief of naval districts, guard districts or fleet head-quarters were under the control of the Minister,

Each of the staffs of these commanders included a chief medical officer, who was an assistant to the commander-in-chief.

"Under the commander-in-chief there were war-

ships, units, schools, naval hospitals, etc. porarily keeping and managing prisoners of war till the time of handing them over to the army was done by the above mentioned war-ships and units. Prisoners who needed medical treatment were received into the infirmary of the unit. In that case the person directly responsible for their treatment was the surgeon in charge of that infirmary who was under the control of the chief medical officer. The chief medical officer was directly subordinate to the unit commander; accordingly, he received orders from the unit commander. Sometimes he received suggestions and advice on medical affairs from a superior medical officer who was the staff-officer of the commander-in-chief, but they were not commands or orders. "Those who are seriously ill among the pri-

"Those who are seriously ill among the prisoner patients belonging to the infirmary of a unit were transfered to a navy hospital just as Japanese officers and men were.

"This may be shown in diagram No. 1 on the separate sheet hereto attached.

"VIII Regarding general health problem, especially those concerning dwellings, clothes, and labor, the Medical Bureau of the Navy Ministry peid particular attention in the earlier days of the war

2

1

4

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

16

17

19

20

22

23

mainly to problems in the tropics; and in the latter days of the war mainly to maintenance of the physical strength of soldiers in the homeland following food and fuel shortages; searching for a scientific method as the subject of special war-time study made by the Research Section of the Navy Medical School and un-6 official scientists, we exerted ourselves to the

> utmost to put our conclusions into practice on troops but under the situation it was most difficult to carry out.

"Our national strength at that time was quite insufficient to meet the general demand. For example, we were in such a condition that even when the 'penicillin cure' was needed, we could by no means use it for the general clinic as we had such a small quantity that it could be used only for clinical study. And when we wanted D. D. T. we had only a test tube of it as study material and even though we thought of using it universally as the Occupation Forces are doing, we could not realize this goal.

"IX Concerning medical treatment, now the death of prisoners from diseases in Japan proper is the subject of grave discussion but a large number of Japanese soldiers died from diseases in the various stages of the war.

1 2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

3

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

22

23 24

25

"Under conditions existing in our country after 1944 the ration for soldiers deteriorated in quantity and quality until, if computed in calories per day, it dropped below 1,700 calories, and even below 1,500 calories.

"On the other hand, owing to fuel shortages, there was no heating in winter season, warm baths became impossible, clothes and bedclothes which were necessary for warmth were wanting, and, in general, maintaining body warmth was impossible; consequently, a disproportion arose between calories absorbed and calories consumed per day, and as the number of calories consumed was always larger this fact resulted in loss of physical strength and nourishment. Such condition of illness seldom broke out among older soldiers who were accustomed to military life but often broke out among younger ones who were not accustomed to military life. This kind of general physical weakness caused many persons to suffer from such complications as pneumonia and diarrhea. This retarded their convalescence.

· "The navy called it 'Prostration resulting from inability to adjust oneself' and decided to distinguish it statistically from malnutrition in the overseas war front.

"The number of cases of this disease reported to the central authorities at that time was more than 6,000 in the navy alone throughout the country. Of this number more than 500 patients died; however, it is inferred that the actual number was more than 10,000, of which more than 1,000 patients died. Frroneous diagnoses of these cases called pneumonia or diarrhea, which were actually only complications of the disease, the major disease and prostration only a result was observed.

"X As to the death of prisoners from diseases, we must keep in mind the difference in resistance to bacteria in the case of foreigners.

"Concerning the comparative ability of war prisoners and Japanese to resist disease, we have made no experiment or study and I am consequently in no position to draw a scientific conclusion. However, I am of the opinion that from study and experiment on animals and epidemiological observation, it is possible to state as follows:

- "(a) Animals brought up in a favorable environment have less resistance to bacteria infection than animals grown in a natural environment.
- "(b) Men exposed to bacteria from their childhood in an unfavorable environment have greater

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

resistance to bacteria than men who have grown in a favorable environment from their childhood, it may be inferred that prisoners were more succeptible to bacterial infection than the Japanese. In addition, such handicaps may be supposed among the prisoners as the following: "(1) spiritual restlessness, "(2) inability to acclimatize, "(3) unfamiliarity with Japanese food, "(4) difference in customs, "(5) lack of communication with the Japanese due to the language barrier.

"as the result of these difficulties it is possible to infer that patients had trouble and took a longer period in recovering from a disease.

"The result of experiment on animals and the epidemiological fact which formed the foundation of this conclusion are as follows:

"1. Examples of experiments on animals:

"(a) When a domesticated mouse and a woodmouse living in natural surroundings were compared, the former died with less bacteria for its weight than the latter.

"(b) When a canary and a wild Japanese white-eye were compared as to resistance to 'bird

19

20

21

22 23

24

malarial protozan', the canary was more strongly infected with it than the white-eye; that is, the former showed less resistance to bacteria than the latter.

"2. Epidemiological observation on human beings:

"(a) It often happens that when persons who have grown in a rural district where there are few tuberculous bacteria come to a city later, come in contact with many people with active tuberculosis and fall ill suddenly, they die in a short period. On the contrary, when persons who have grown in a city from their childhood and often resisted tuberculosis infection fall ill they pass into a chronic state and in many cases their convalescence is letter compared with that of the former.

"(b) In case of malaria the same result as the above was observed.

"When a tribe grown in a place where malaria prevails becomes immune racially from malaria for a long period they seldom die of acute malignant malaria. But when inhabitants of the temperate zone who have never been infected with malarial protozoa enter the place where malaria prevails they are immediately attacked by acute-malaria and their condi-

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

tion grows serious.

"(c) In the case of dysentery the same tendency may be observed.

"XI The sanitary environment of Japan must be regarded as a reason for weakening the prisoners' resistance. As to Japan's climate, it may be said that the summer is that of subtropical climate and the winter is severely cold. Even if a person grew up in Japan from his childhood he would have much trouble until he became acclimatized. On account of this, many weak persons are attacked in their childhood, by various kinds of diseases and die. Besides, during the wartime even the Japanese accustomed to Japanese food were not satisfied, due to the shortage of food materials, especially of albumin and fat. Furthermore, owing to the lack of chemical fertilizers human manure was used by private homes for cultivation of vegetables; consequently, the density inside the bowels of parasites such as intertinal Thus even the reworms and hook-worms was raised. sistance of the Japanese to disease was generally lowered; and cases of dysentery, typhoid fever, eruptive typhus, pneumonia, and tuberlosis increased. Thus, as an environment, Japan was in a considerably unfavorable condition. I am afraid that large number

24

of health troubles arose among prisoners coming to such an environment.

"XII I think the difficulty in understanding medical English in Japan was a considerable handicap in medical treatment of prisoners of war.

"As to difficult medical terms, especially difficult phrases in the Japanese language that even the Japanese except physicians do not understand, are frequently used. In interpreting them into English even a professional interpreter will find himself unequal to the task. On the other hand, education for physicians was carried on by medical scientists of the German school, so that surgeons in the army and navy were quite ignorant of medical English. This being the case, it is inferred that if prisoners complained about their illness the Japanese did not understand the details of their complaint and even if Japanese surgeons or medical men gave nedical advice to them the prisoners often failed to understand what they meant."

Signed "This 10th day of December 1947".

THE PRESIDENT: In my copy this affidavit is dated the 10th of December 1947.

MR. ROBERTS: I was just about to correct that, 1946.

1	You may cross-examine.
2	THE PRESIDENT: Captain Robinson.
3	CAPTAIN ROBINSON: If the Court please,
4	there will be no cross-examination of this witness.
5	MR. ROBERTS: May the witness be excused on
6	the usual terms?
7	THE PRESIDENT: He is excused accordingly.
8	(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
9	THE PRESIDENT: Have you a document next or
10	a witness?
11	MR. ROBERTS: Next we have a document which
12	is several pages. We may mark it in evidence perhap
13	and then proceed to read it after
14	THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn for
15	fifteen minutes.
16	(Whereupon, at 1445 a recess was
17	taken until 1500, after which the proceedings
18	were resumed as follows.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2

3

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roberts.

MR. ROBERTS: We offer in evidence defense document No. 1896, being an exact copy of the answer addressed to Colonel Allen from Major General NAKA-MURA, concerning the inquiry note addressed to the Japanese Government from GHQ, after the surrender, concerning a Japanese submarine which sunk a steamer in the Indian Ocean.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1896 will receive exhibit No. 3064.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3064 and received in evidence.)

MR. ROBERTS: I read exhibit No. 3064:

"6 December 1945. From: Minister of the

I. J. 2nd Demobilization Ministry. To: General

Headquarters of The Supreme Commander for the

Allied Powers. Subject: Reply regarding the sinking of merchant ships in Indian Ocean.

"With reference to your letter dated 19 November 1945, our statement is herewith presented.

3

21

23

"Reply regarding the sinkings of merchant ships in Indian Ocean.

ject requested by your memorandum dated 19 November 1945, protests were filed during the war by the respective governments except for the Norwegian 8. 8. Scotia; and whereas at each time of the protest we investigated the matters through various reports and telegrams as well as the returned persons from the operated areas, in none of these cases positive results was obtained, and accordingly the governments interested were notified to that effect.

"Because of the loss of almost all Japanese submarines then operating in the Indian Ocean,
remaining very few survivors, and because of the
destruction by fire of all relevant records, reports
and telegrams, the renewal of the survey has now become very difficult, and accurate results cannot be
expected at present. But we have conducted our
investigation as far as possible based upon the inquiry of the majority of the surviving crews and of
those proons who occupied key posts in submarine
warfare; and the result of the investigation so far
obtained is as follows:

"1. Names of submarines in question together with the outline of the movements thereof. "The submarines of the Imperial Japanese
Navy that operated in the Indian Ocean during the
period relative to the sinkings of merchant ships
described on the protests filed against the Imperial
Japanese Government, i.e., from the end of 1943
through August 1944, were:

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"I-8, I-26, I-27, I-37, I-162, I-165, I-166, Ro-110, Ro-111 ..... Total 9 submarines.

"While their duties lay in destruction of communications, reconnaissance of strategic places, as well as supplying to German submarines, etc., priority was given to the reconnoitering the key points in such areas as Maldive Islands, Chagos Islands, Diego cuarez, Mombasa, Aden Bay, and the northwestern part of Australia. The carrying out of these reconnaissance duties caused these submarines to operate dispersing all over the length and width of the Indian Ocean. Except the I-162, the remaining eight submarines have all reported as missing in the Indian Ocean or during subsequent operations in other theatres, and as for this survived I-162, its commanding officer during the operations in question was killed in action in July 1944 in southern Pacific. Under these circumstances, though the accuracy cannot be guaranteed, the movements of each of these

submarires have been described, based upon the memories

and on the attached chart. It should be added in this connection during that period considerable number of German submarines were engaging in commerce destruction in all spheres of the Indian Ocean paralleled to our submarine activities there. And since the summer of 1943 the dividing line between the German operational area and ours as well as the limitation of periods of operation was rescinded owing to the earnest desire of the German Navy.

"2. Judgment concerning the submarines responsible for the sinking of the steamers.

mind the names in question among those of the sunken vessels, the only way for us to do is to make a comparison between the movements of each of our submarines as explained in the preceding paragraph on one side and the date and place of the sinking of each victim steamer stated in the protests from the respective Governments on the other; and this overall comparison is shown on the Annex Table No. 2. But as it is clarified in this table we can identify no submarines which may be suspected of the inquired sinkings with the reservation regarding Nos. I-27, I-166, and Ro-110. These last named three

submarines, with all of their crews, have failed to return to their base from their operations in the Indian Ocean, and therefore if ever they might be responsible for any of these sinkings, there is no means for us to continue the investigation any further.

"Such being the situation it is a matter of regret that we cannot herewith specify the names of the responsible submarines.

"As for the Scotia, it is desired, the information concerning the date and place of the sinking of this Norwegian vessel will be revealed to us as soon as possible, because without these data we cannot get any clue to the probing of this case."

THE PRESIDENT: I suppose "I. J. Second Demobilization Ministry" means "Imperial Japanese Second Demobilization Ministry."

MR. ROBERTS: The charts and tables will not be read. They are included for the guidance of the Court.

We now call the witness TAKATA, Toshitane.

TOSHITANE TAKATA, called as a witness
in behalf of the defense, being first duly
sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters
as follows:
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. ROBERTS:
Q Please state your name and address.
A My name is TAKATA, Toshitane. My address
is 955 1-Chome, Tamagawa Naka-machi, Fetagaya-ku,
Tokyo.
MR. ROBERTS: May the witness be shown
defense document No. 2128?
Q Please examine this document and tell us
whether it is your sworn affidavit.
A This is mine.
o Is it true and correct?
A There are three places in which I would

A There are three places in which I would like to make corrections. May I?

Q Please tell us the page and the paragraph number or question number.

A The first place is the answer to the fourth question. At the end of the first paragraph the words "For that purpose regular personnel was assigned" is found. Instead of that phrase, please substitute "Personnel, regular personnel to take

charge of such matters were assigned."

THE INTERPRETER: Correction. There is no correction in the English text.

THE PRESIDENT: What was his rank?
THE WITNESS: Rear Admiral.

May I make my next correction?

Answer to the seventh question, where the words "The Director of Naval Affairs Bureau, Director of Accounts and Supplies Bureau and Director of Legal Bureau" -- after that, please insert "Director of Military Supplies Bureau and Director of the Medical Affairs Bureau."

Q What is your last correction?

"There was a law called the P.O.W. punishment law and the naval regulations pertaining to treatment of war prisoners," etc. -- instead of that, please say "There was a law called the prisoners of war punishment law and also the Navy court-martial law and the Navy disciplinary regulations."

That is all.

Q Is it otherwise true and correct?

A Yes

MR. ROBERTS: I offer in evidence defense document No. 2128.

3

6

7

5

8

9

11,

12

13 14

15

17

18

19

21

---

23

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2128

will receive exhibit No. 3065.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3065 and received in evidence.)

MR. ROBERTS: I read exhibit No. 3065:

1. "Q Briefly state the posts held by you.

"A From July 1, 1935 to November 15, 1937 I was on the staff of Section One, Naval Affairs Bureau of the Navy Ministry, and had charge of matters connected with naval organization and personnel.

"Thereafter I was staff officer of the fleet in the China waters, commander of the aircraft carrier 'Soryu' and staff officer of the Second Fleet. After that from November 15, 1940 to July 14, 1942 I assumed the post of Chief of Section One, Naval Affairs Bureau, and had charge of matters relating to naval armament and naval administration.

"After that I served as staff officer of the Third Fleet, staff officer of the Combined Fleet, commander on the staff of the Combined Fleet, and assistant of chief of staff of Naval Combined Forces. From May 15, 1945, up to the termination of the war I was Deputy Director, Naval Affairs Bureau of the Navy Ministry, and had charge of matters relating to the navy's preparation for war. I was a rear admiral when the war ended.

"Q What sort of office routine was handled in
 Section One, Naval Affairs Bureau of the Navy Ministry?
 "A 1) Naval preparations and matters relating to

2

3

1

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11,

13

14

15 16

17

18

20

21

25

of war?

1	general naval administration.
	"2) Matters relating to warships, vessels,
3	units, offices, and organization of schools and duties
4	"3) Matters relating to organization of
5	warships, ships and units and service duties.
6	"4) Matters relating to naval discipline and
7	public morals.
8.	"5) Matters relating to maneuvers.
9	"6) Matters relating to censorship.
10	"7) Matters relating to ceremonies,
11	etiquette, regulations on uniforms and garniture.
12	"8) Matters relating to warships, vessels
13	and ordnance and other war supplies in general.
14	"9) Matters relating to martial law and
15	defense.
16	"The foregoing are items provided for in the
17	Regulations Pertaining to the Organization of the
18	Navy Ministry, but the nature of the duties are set
19	
20	out in detail in the Regulations Pertaining to the
21	Functions of the Navy Ministry. Also the contents
22	thereof were also classified in detail in the Table of
23	the Division of Duties Navy Ministry Personnel.
24	3. "Q Since December 8, 1941 what section in the
25	Navy Ministry handled matters pertaining to prisoners

3

2

4 5

6

8

9

11

13

14

16

18

19

21

22

23

24

"A Section One of the Naval Affairs Bureau handled them.

4. "Q Were there any provisions stating that matters relating to prisoners of war came within the jurisdiction of the Naval Affairs Bureau?

"A The words 'prisoners of war' were not particularly used in the Ordinance on Organization of Ministry of Navy and Regulations Pertaining to the Functions of the Navy Ministry. But since matters relating to prisoners of war were embraced within the general matters concerning naval administration, (a) the task of preparing a draft for the establishment or revision of the various regulations concerning the handling of POW, (b) liaison work within Japan in connection with POW was undertaken by Section One Naval Affairs Bureau, and for that purpose regular personnel was assigned.

"Furthermore, with respect to the handling of the prisoners of war as a matter of international routine affair, Section Two of the Naval Affairs Bureau acted as a reception organ.

5. "Q What were the standard of rules and customs in the Navy upon which the prisoners of war were handled?

"A It was based on international law, which was the standard upon which the Navy handled prisoners of war.

"Regulations Pertaining to the Treatment of Prisoners of War. These were the procedures provided for until the prisoners of war captured by the Navy were turned over to the Army.

"It had been the practice since the Meiji
Era and there were various regulations pertaining
to it for the Navy to turn over the prisoners of war
captured by it to the Army, and they were interned in
the prisoners of war camp under the control of the
War Minister.

6. "Q What sort of concrete measures were taken by the Navy so that it could work on the basis of international law?

"A On October 7, 1914 the Rules of Naval Warfare were promulgated. Article One provides:

"During wartime the Imperial warships may, according to this order, or other rules, regulations and provisions of treaties, capture at sea, and take all necessary measures against other acts of hostility in order to attain the object of the war. With respect to matters which are not provided for herein, the principles of international law shall be applied.'

"These were the basic rules and regulations.

"The Japanese Navy had been giving suitable

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

lectures in connection with international law at various schools conforming with the adurtion standard of such schools. Moreover, specialists in in national law were dispatched to the fleet and gave lectu. thereon.

"There were two civil officials in the Navy who were conversant with international law, and they were attached to the secretariat of the Navy Minister. They handled matters relating to international relations, and the opinions of these civil officials were not only respected, but if it became necessary either one of them, or a specialist like Dr. Jumpel SHINOBU was dispatched to the fleet and he gave the necessary advice in the disposition of questions involving international relations. After the outbreak of the China Incident the Navy Ministry frequently issued reference books, advisory memorandum, telegrams of warning, etc. to the various naval units in connection with international law. The following were some of the principal books which were published and distributed to the various warships and naval units: they wer "Outline of Wartime International Law; ... at a ... "Tables appended to above; "Commentary on Naval Ministerial Order Guiding

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1:7

18

19

20

21

22

23

lectures in connection with international law at various schools conforming with the education standard of such schools. Moreover, specialists in international law were dispatched to the fleet and gave lectures thereon.

"There were two civil officials in the Navy who were conversant with international law, and they were attached to the secretariat of the Navy Minister. They handled matters relating to international relations, and the opinions of these civil officials were not only respected, but if it became necessary either one of them, or a specialist like Dr. Jumpei SHINOBU was dispatched to the fleet and he gave the necessary advice in the disposition of questions involving international relations. After the outbreak of the China Incident the Navy Ministry frequently issued reference books, advisory memorandum, telegrams of warning, etc. to the various naval units in connection with international law. The following were some of the principal books which were published and distributed to the various warships an naval units;

"Outline of Wartime International Law;

"Tables appended to above;

"Supplement to above;

"Commentary on Naval Ministerial Order Guiding

Men-of-War's Conduct in High Sea and Foreign Territorial;

"Various Problems Pertaining to Rules of Naval Warfare;

"Laws & Regulations Relating to Naval Warfare of Various Nations in the War of 1939-1940 (12 volumes)

"Among the various laws or reference books referred to above there was contained various treaties, advisory matters relating to prisoners of war.

7. "Q What authority had the Navy Minister in connection with the administration of POW?

"A The Navy Minister had authority to make the rules for the administration of the prisoners of war. He drafted the Rules and Regulations Pertaining to the Treatment of Prisoners of War, and provisions Relating to Supplies & Allowances of Prisoners of War. The Director of Naval Affairs Bureau, Director of Accounts and Supplies Bureau and Director of Legal Bureau, Director of Military Supplies Bureau, and Director of Medical Supplies Bureau were aides to the Navy Minister in connection with the adoption, revision or abolition of these rules and regulations.

"The commander in chief of the naval station, commander in chief of guardship and commander in chief

4

6

'

9

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

of the fleet were in a position to enforce the administration of the prisoners of war on the basis of the regulations drafted by the Navy Minister.

When prisoners of war were captured by any unit under the command of the foregoing commanders, the necessary regulations concerning their administration were fixed.

8. "Q I wish to ask you about the relations between the Navy Minister and the operation force. Was the Navy Ministry consulted by the Naval General Staff in connection with the operation orders issued to the operation force?

"A He was not consulted at all with regard to pure operations.

- 9. "Q Was the Navy Ministry ever consulted with regard to the submarine operations in the Pacific War?
  - "A Never,
- 10. "Q With regard to matters concerning the prisoners of war at the zone of operation, were they disposed of as navel administration matters?
- "A In case of an accual engagement with the enemy, or in the case of an emergency corresponding thereto existing the matters were disposed of as operational matters
- 11. "Q Under which of the foregoing matters did the administration of the prisoners of war in the various

2

3

6

7 8

10

12

14

13

15 16

17

19

21 22

23

24

25

islands take form when Japanese troops were actually subjected to enemy attacks or constantly exposed to the dangers of enemy assault?

"A It was disposed of as operational matter.

12. "Q What was the date when the Japanese force occupied Wake Island in the early stage of the war?

13. "Q Were there any prisoners of war on the said island when it was occupied, and how many were there?

"A As I remember, it was on December 23, 1941.

"A I remember that the number of war prisoners was unexpectedly large, which surprised me. However, I do not remember the number.

14. "Q When and by whom was that report made?

"A I recall that soon after the occupation, the commander of Wake Island operation force telegraphed to the commander in chief of the 4th Fleet, and the commander in chief of the Combined Fleet. At the same time, the information was sent to the Naval General Staff and the Navy Ministry.

15. "Q Who was the garrison commander on Wake Island" -- there should be a correction there -- "and under whose command?

"A The garrison commander on Wake Island during my tenure as chief of Section One, Naval Affairs Bureau, was Navy Captain Suzumu KAWASAKI, who was under the

command of Vice Admiral Narumi INOUYE, commander in chief of the 4th Fleet.

16. "Q What steps were taken to handle the prisoners of war on Wake Island?

"A It was decided to transport them to Japan and deliver them to the army. In early part of January 1942 the Nitta Maru was dispatched to Wake Island, and the prisoners of war placed on board.

17. "Q Was this regarded as a naval administration matter or an operational matter?

"A That it was inconvenient from the point of view of supply to leave a large number of prisoners of war on the islands in mid-ocean so it was better to transport them quickly to Japan, was a naval administration matter. Whether or not it would hinder the general operations to send such a large type ship as the Nitta Maru to Wake Island immediately after the opening of hostilities, or whether defense against enemy attack on the Nitta Maru could be prevented was an operational matter. That is to say, whether the Nitta Maru should be dispatched to Wake Island or not was a matter which must be considered from both the naval administration and operation.

2

3

4

6

7 8

9

10

12

13

15

17

18

21

22

20

23

25

18. "Q Who ordered the movement of the Nitta Maru?

"A As I recall the Mobilization Bureau, an aide organ to the Navy Minister, drew up the plan of the movement after having obtained the approval of the sections and bureaus concerned in the Navy Ministry and the Naval General Staff, and the Navy Minister issued the command for its movement.

19. "Q What were the movements of the prisoners of war after they had been taken aboard the Nitta Maru?

"A As I remember, the Nitta Maru arrived in Japan about the middle of January, but pursuant to the request of the army the prisoners from Wake Island were placed in a temporary prison camp in Shanghai, and they were transported to Woosung direct from the Nitta Maru.

20. "Q Were the prisoners of war transported on the Nitta Maru all from Wake Island? How many were there?

"A They were not all. As I recall those transported on the Nitta Maru ran up to considerable number, but I do not know how many.

21. "Q What was the reason for the entire prisoners not being transported on the Nitta Maru?

"A At the time the Nitta Maru reached Wake Island
(I do not remember the exact time) we received a telegram from the commanding officer on the spot saying
that there were many prisoners of war whose transfer

2

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

was difficult on account of their being ill, while there were quite a number who voluntarily wished to remain there. Subsequently the Navy Minister studied the problem of supply and international law questions. According to the opinion of a specialist in international law attached to the Navy Minister's Secretariat, the prisoners of war could remain after they have voluntarily signed a contract agreeing to engage in work which was not connected directly with combat. Therefore, we answered by cable to such effect and have each prisoner sign such an agreement which should be dispatched to the Navy Ministry. Furthermore, I recall that we received instructions which were issued, that as for those who were ill and could later be removed, and others who have completed their work and desired to be transported to Japan, could be so transported as soon as transportation was available. 22. "Q Did those contracts reach the Navy Ministry, and what became of the prisoners of war who had remained thereafter?

"A Up to the time of my resignation as Chief of Section One, Naval Affairs Bureau, that is July 14, 1942, these contracts did not reach us. Except for an episode, which I heard, concerning the prisoners of war remaining on Wake Island, I do not recall

24

1 receiving any report.

23. "Q What was that episode?

"A The occupation force on Wake Island discovered huge amounts of construction materials and engineering machinery and construction engineers on the island. They were surprised to find an enormous quantity of these together with precision construction machinery. They immediately tried to use them and started to operate the bulldozers, but could not do so. While they were at a loss what to do, one of the American engineers, who was watching, by sign language waved aside the Japanese soldiers and smilingly operated the bulldozer. The story was that this was the motive which prompted some of the prisoners to remain there. 24. "Q Do you know anything about the fact that several prisoners of war who had been placed on board the Nitta Maru were executed en route from Yokohama to Woosung between the middle and the end of January 1942?

"A I do not know anything about it. At that time not only was there no reply, but I do not recall hearing of any subsequently.

25. "Q What was the situation with respect to meting out of punishment to the prisoners of war?

"A There was a law called the POW Punishment
Law, and also the Navy Court-Martial Law and the Navy

6

3

8

10

11

13

15

16 17

18 19

20

22

24

3

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

Disciplinary Law and the Naval Regulations Pertaining to the Treatment of War Prisoners which clarified following point, namely: If it was a disciplinary measure the commander of the naval authorities who actually had the prisoners interned applied the provisions of the Naval Disciplinary Regulations. In case of a penal measure, the naval authorities investigated as executive naval judicial prosecuting officer (k. igun shiho keisatsu kan), turned over the case to the prosecutor of the nearest court-martial who would try the case. 26. "Q Did you see the detailed Regulations for the 13 Punishment of Prisoners of War established by the 14 transport commander of the Nitta Maru, or did you receive a report thereof?

"A We knew nothing about it at that time, nor did we become aware of it until recently. 27. "Q Who set up the temporary prison camps and selected their sites?

"A The commanders-in-chief of the fleet, naval station and minor naval station, who received the prisoners of war, set up the prison camps pursuant to the Regulations for the Treatment of Prisoners of War. 28. "Q Tho set up the Ofuna temporary prison camp? Do you know what was the occasion when you became aware

22

23

24

of its establishment?

"A The Ofuna temporary prison camp was established by the Yokosuka Naval Station. I learned of it for the first time when the Yokosuka Naval Station submitted the papers to the Navy Ministry requesting appropriation in connection with the construction of the Ofuna temporary prison camp. I remember that it was a short time before I resigned as Chief of Section One, Naval Affairs Bureau.

29. "Q Did you, during your tenure in the Naval Affairs Bureau, receive reports or protests that the prisoners of war at Ofuna temporary prison camp were mistreated or driven to hard labor, or that patients were not given adequate medical treatment?

"A There were absolutely no reports on such matters, nor have I heard it from other sources."

Dated the 27th day of August, 1947.

You may cross-examine.

THE PRESIDENT: Commander Cole.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY COMMANDER COLE:

Q On page 9 of your affidavit you state that

Ofuna Prisoner of War Camp was established by the Yokosuka Naval Station. Will you give us the approximate date on which Ofuna Prisoner of War Camp was established?

A I do not recall exactly.

Q On page 2 of your affidavit you state that Section 1, Naval Affairs Bureau, of which you were the chief, was in charge of matters pertaining to prisoners of war. In the light of that statement, was it possible that Ofuna Prisoner of War Camp could have been established without the approval of the Bureau of Naval Affairs?

A It is possible. May I explain? According to the Prisoner of War Treatment Regulations published by the Navy Ministry, the chiefs of the various naval authorities in whose hands prisoners of War might fall and the various commanders of the naval stations and minor stations which might receive prisoners of war from other commanders were empowered to set up rovisional camps, and to place the prisoners of war in these camps.

When buildings already in existence were used as temporary camps, it was not necessary for the authorities setting up such camps to consult with the Naval Affairs Bureau beforehand.

'

In the case of the Yokosuka Naval Station, since they did not have buildings adequate to hold these prisoners, they desired to build extra buildings, and for this purpose appropriations were needed. The Naval Affairs Bureau handled this matter only to the extent of approving the necessary appropriations. That is all.

Q You state on page 9 of your affidavit that you first learned of the Ofuna Prisoner of War Camp when a request for an appropriation governing the camp reached the Navy Ministry shortly before you resigned as Chief of Section 1, Naval Affairs Bureau. What was the approximate date on which this request was received?

A I have no clear recollection on this point.

Q On page 1 of your affidavit you state that you assumed the post of Chief of Section 1, Naval Affairs Bureau, on November 15, 1940, and held it until July 14, 1942. In the light of this statement, may we assume that the Bureau of Naval Affairs had knowled e of Ofuna Prisoner of War Camp from sometime in July, 1942?

A I do recollect that I received a report from my subordinate that a request for appropriations had come from the Yokosuka Naval Station after they

1	
1	had already begun building the temporary camp.
2	Q In answer to this request for an appropria-
3	tion, did the Navy Ministry in fact provide the funds
4	for the establishment of Ofuna Prisoner of War Camp?
5	A Yes.
6	Q While you were attached to the Bureau of
7	Naval Affairs, were any reports received covering the
8	number, names and rank of the prisoners of war at
9	Ofuna Prisoner of War Camp?
10	A I have no clear recollection on this point.
11	Q How long did Ofuna Prisoner of War Camp
12	remain in existence?
3	A I believe it existed after the end of the
4	war.
6	COMMANDER COLE: No more questions.
7	MR. ROBERTS: No further questions. May the
8	witness be excused on the usual terms?
9	THE PRESIDENT: He is excused accordingly.
0	(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
1	MR. ROBERTS: I now call the witness YAMAMOTO
2	Yoshio.
3	

_	
	YOSHIO YAMAMOTO, recalled as a witness
	on behalf of the defense, having been previously
	sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters
	as follows:
	THE PRESIDENT: You are still on oath.
	DIRECT EXAMINATION
	BY MR. RODERTS:
	Q May the witness be shown defense document
	No. 2142.
	Please examine this document and tell us
	if it is your sworn affidavit.
	A It is mine.
	Q Is it true and correct?
	A Yes.
	MR. ROBERTS: I offer in evidence defense
	document No. 2142.
	THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
	CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2142
	will receive exhibit No. 3066.
	(Whereupon, the document above
	referred to was marked defense exhibit
	No. 3066 and received in evidence.)
	MR. RODERTS: I will read exhibit 3066.
	I will skip the posts occupied because they were given
	previously.

	1
	ı
1	
2	
3	
4	1
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	1
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	

"2. Q During the wartime did the Navy Ministry issue any instructions concerning the handling of the prisoners of war?

"A Instructions were issued by telegrams and correspondence with respect to the handling of the prisoners of war.

"3. Q Where were these directed to by the Navy Ministry?

"A Headquarters of the Naval Base, Headquarters of Minor Naval Station and Headquarters of the Fleet.

"Q Do you know where these original telegrams were filed and if they are now available?

"A The originals were in the custody of Section One, Naval Affairs Bureau, Navy Ministry, but they were all lost in the fire in the air raid on May 25, 1945.

"5. Q Then can you recall, in substance, their contents?

"A I can recall the following facts from memory:

"a) Instructions were issued on December

1941. The supervision of the prisoners of war was to
be in the hands of the Army, and the Navy was to
administer them until they were turned over to the

Army. This was set forth in Regulations Pertaining
to the Treatment of Prisoners of War, but the orders

.

17 18

19

20

21

22

24

 were made to call attention to the existence thereof.

"b) As prisoners were captured in the southern combat zone from January 1942 to February, instructions were issued to transport them to Japan.

- "c) As there were quite a number of deaths among the prisoners of war due to influence at the Saseho temporary prison camp from December 1942 to the spring of 1943, orders were issued to the Saseho Naval Station two or three times to make an investigation of the state of affairs. Moreover, attention was called respecting accommodations and the food situation, which was reported as law.
- "d) October 1943. Directions were issued to transfer to the Army a considerable number of prisoners from Saseho.
- "e) December 1944. Instructions were issued to make a survey and report on the condition of the prisoners of war held outside of Japan. The condition of the prisoners outside of Japan was to have been reported in accordance with the Regulations Pertaining to the Treatment of Prisoners of War, but due to the difficulties encountered in traffic and communications many of the reports did not reach Tokyo and caused the office routine in handling them to bog down. Therefore, in order to straighten out the materials anew

instructions were sent out to make a survey. Despite the foregoing instructions reports still did not arrive.

4

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

25

"f) March 1945. If prisoners were interned by the troops stationed abroad they were instructed to turn them over to the nearest army units as quickly as possible.

"6. Q Do you know where the prisoners captured by the Navy were placed during the war?

"A I understand that the prisoners captured by the Navy were stationed at Wake Island, Rabaul, Ambon, Macassar and Haiphong. However, most of the prisoners on Wake Island were first sent to Woosung, Shanghai, in early 1942, and later one portion was transported to Japan and delivered to the Army, and a small portion remained to engage in engineering works. I also understand that the prisoners in Rabaul were sent to Japan and turned over to the Army.

"7. Q Do you know whether there were prisoners held in other areas?

"A I do not know as there were no reports received from any other place.

"8. Q Had you received any reports that the prisoners in those areas mentioned had been mistreated?

24

25

"A No.

"9. Q Do you know that under date of August 21, 1943, the American Government dispatched a list of 40 names of prisoners on Wake Island whose whereabouts were unknown?

"A No, I do not."

MR. ROBERTS: I understand on "9" there is a correction sheet, and that should be "400" instead of "40" on the correction.

"10. Q Do you know that the American Government has made inquiries about the tidings of the prisoners of war on Wake Island as follows:

"Letter dated October 8, 1943.

"Memorandum dated December 10, 1943.

"Memorandum dated February 14, 1944.

"Memorandum dated September 25, 1944.

"Memorandum dated November 1, 1944.

"Memorandum dated March 15, 1945.

"Memorandum dated May 15, 1945.

"Memorandum dated July 27, 1945.

"A I received no information concerning such inquiries.

"11. Q Did you ever see any other inquiries with regard to the tidings of the prisoners on Wake Island?

"A I do not recall any inquiries from any place

3

5

7

8

9

10

12

14

15

16

18

19

21

23

24 25 other than the reply to the inquiry from the Japanese Office that there were a few prisoners remaining on Wake Island, which was made by my predecessor in office in 1942.

"12. Q Was there a report from Rear Admiral SAKAIBARA, Commander of the Wake Garrison, about October 1943, with regard to the prisoners who remained on that island?

"A Report came directly to the Fourth Fleet, but at the same time telegraphic report came to the Navy Ministry, Naval General Staff and the Combined Fleet.

"13. Q "hat was the nature of the request?

"A At that time there was a bombardment of Wake Island by the American task force. The situation was such that with the continuance of the bombardment the landing of American troops was expected and in consequence the American prisoners of war thereupon started a mass riot, therefore they were shot. That was the substance of the telegram.

"14. Q With respect to this report what sort of action was taken by the Navy Ministry?

"A As for the Navy Ministry it was not in a position to take any direct measure so no action was taken. However, it was watching what sort of action

YAMAMOTO

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

14

15

17

18

20

21

23 24

25

would be taken by the superior officer, the commanderin-chief of the 4th Fleet.

"15. Q Where was the headquarters of the 4th Fleet at that time?

"A Truk.

"16. Q What was the condition of traffic and communications between Wake, Truk and Tokyo at that time?

"A There were contacts by wireless, but seaborne traffic could not be conducted unless at considerable risk of danger.

"17. Q Was there any report from the 4th Fleet with respect to the conduct of the commanding officer on Wake Island later?

"A There were no reports whatever. From the end of 1943 the South Seas Islands were being attacked by the American air force and navy; therefore, the 4th Fleet was exposed to severely desperate battles. In view of this state of affairs it was impossible to press for reports and cause investigations to be made.

"18. Q Was a court-martial set up in the 4th Fleet at that time?

"A Yes.

"19. Q Did the 4th Fleet take any step in putting this case before the court-martial?

"A As for the Navy Ministry its position remained indefinite because there was no report. With the capture of the various South Sea Islands one after enother, the occupation of Wake Island became imminent and despite the fact that the entire garrison was prepared for a mass self-destruction, there was no way in which the combined fleet or the 4th Fleet could rescue this garrison unit. In view of these circumstances existing at the time, we could not summon the commanding officer to the headquarters of the 4th Fleet for the purpose of investigation, or to shift the commander and place him before a court-martial for trial.

"20. Q Do you know Commander OKADA, staff officer of the Naval General Staff?

"A Yes, I do.

"21. Q In the early part of October 1942, did you or anyone orally in the Naval Affairs Dureau instruct or send word to Vice Admiral ADE, Commander on Kwajalein Island, through Staff Officer OKADA or some other member of the Naval General Staff to execute the prisoners in Kwajalein on the spot?

"A Absolutely not. The Naval Affairs Bureau,
Navy Ministry, was not concerned with Staff Officer
OKADA's trip to the South Seas. Also the Naval

1 2

Affairs Bureau was not aware that there were prisoners of war on Kwajalein Island at that time.

"As for the Navy it always issues orders or instructions by telegrams or in writing, and has absolutely never entrusted anybody with verbal orders. Also, according to the setup in the Navy, the Navy Ministry could not give orders or instructions direct to Rear Admiral ABE as the commanding officer on the spot.

"22. Q "ere protests received in connection with the sinking of allied powers' merchant ships by Japanere submarines in the Indian Ocean during the war and the perpetration of atrocities on the survivors?

"A I do not remember the date and the number of times the protests were received, but we did receive some.

"23. Q What steps were taken at the time of the receipt of those protests?

"A The parties in charge of the submarines were asked to make an investigation of those connected with it. Inasmuch as the matter involved operations the investigation was required to the Naval General Staff and the fact was thoroughly investigated. But as they reached the conclusion that since the Japanese submarines were not involved in the protests we replied

to such effect to the Foreign Office.

"24. Q Was any investigation made after the war with respect to the foregoing facts?

"A There was an inquiry from GHQ so the principal parties of the surviving submarine crew and those connected with the matter at that time were investigated but such fact could not be established and we replied to that effect. The text of the documents and appended table dated December 5, 1945, signed on behalf of Dureau Chief NAKAMURA, entitled, "Reply to the Matter of the Merchant Ship sunk in the Indian Ocean by the Imperial Japanese Submarines;" addressed to Col. Allen of GHQ, was our reply.

"25. Q Tho administered the temporary prison camp in Ofuna?

"A Yokosuka Naval Station.

"26. Q Who appointed the superintendent of the prison camp?

"A I do not know the details, but I think commanding officer of the Minor Naval Station in Yokosuka under the jurisdiction of the Yokosuka Naval Station appointed some suitable subordinate.

"27. Q Was the appointment of the prison camp superintendent reported to the Navy Ministry?

"A Such a matter was within the province of

2

1

.

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

14

15

17

19

20

21

23

24

Mr. Roberts?

25

the Yokosuka Naval Station and no report was made 1 to the Navy Ministry. 2 "28. Q What sort of a report was made by the Yokosuka 3 Naval Station? 4 "A There was a report on the personnel in the 5 prison camp, their names, ranks, and other matters 6 designated in the Regulations Pertaining to the Handling of the Prisoners of War. 8 "29. Q Did you ever receive any report that the 9 10 prisoners in the Ofuna temporary prison camp were mis-11 treated, or that the prisoner patients did not receive 12 adequate medical attention? 13 "A No such report ever came in during my tenure 14 in office. 15 "On this 15th day of August, 1947." 16 You may cross-examine. 17 CAPTAIN ROBINSON: If it please the Court. 18 THE PRESIDENT: Captain Robinson. 19 CAPTAIN ROBINSON: No cross-examination is 20 desired. However, the prosecution wishes to call the 21 Court's attention, by reference, to exhibit 2055-C, 22 record page 15,028 to 15,032. 23 THE PRESIDENT: What is your next document, 24

MR. ROBERTS: Document 1942, and that

finishes my phase. May this witness be excused on the usual terms? THE PRESIDENT: He is released accordingly. (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn now until half-past nine tomorrow morning. (Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment was taken until Wednesday, 3 September 1947, at 0930.) 

-